

Consultation Statement

Alvington Parish

Appendix 1

Printable Version – (Double sided/duplex)

July 2019

Alvington
Neighbourhood Development Plan
2018- 2026
Submission Document
Consultation Statement
App. 1

Contents

1. Introduction	Page 3
2. Background information and timeframe	Page 3
3. Information provision and publicity	Page 4
4. Steering Group	Page 4
5. Consultation events and activities	Page 5
6. Pre Submission Statutory Consultation	Page 13

1. INTRODUCTION

This Consultation Statement has been prepared to fulfil the legal obligations of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012, Section 15(1b) of Part 5. Section 15(2) of the Regulations sets out what a Consultation Statement should contain:

- (a) Details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed neighbourhood development plan;
- (b) Explanation of how they were consulted;
- (c) Summary of the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted;
- (d) Description of how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed neighbourhood development plan.

This Consultation Statement provides an overview of each of the above stages of consultation in accordance with Section 15(2) of Part 5 of the Regulations. Full details are provided in the reports that support the Consultation Statement.

2. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2.1 Some consultation had taken place prior to Neighbourhood Plan consultations. A Parish Plan was undertaken in 2011 (Appendix 23). 3rd February 2016 the GRCC Community Adviser presented Neighbourhood Planning information to the Parish Council and residents.

2.2. The Alvington Neighbourhood Plan (NDP) consultation process was aimed to be inclusive and involve as much of the community as possible during the whole process and at key stages of decision making. A logo was designed for inclusion in all publicity to give the plan village ownership and recognition of NDP publicity circulating in the village.

2.3. Timeline setting out the key stages in the process of developing the Neighbourhood Plan.

Date	Activity	Detail
12 th May 2016	Designation of Neighbourhood Area by Forest of District Council after public consultation	6 weeks statutory consultation on Forest of Dean District Council website before designation. https://www.fdean.gov.uk/media/3352/alvington-notice-of-designation.pdf
May 2016	GRCC (Gloucestershire Rural Community Council) were engaged for support throughout the NDP process	Support and advise the group on NDP process, consultation, facilitation and evidence gathering.
June 2016	NDP Steering Group set up with TOR's. A communication strategy & project plan developed.	As a working group for the Alvington Parish Council to report to the Parish Council, carry out consultation and produce the NDP. Each NDP meeting was open to the public.
Ongoing	Informal consultation with local	Village Hall Committee; Sports Pavilion Group;

throughout the plan	stakeholders	Cricket Club; Residents of Clanna Park; Businesses; Farmers; local groups; residents in the form of face to face and meetings.
23 rd October 2016	1 st Public Drop-In consultation event 10am to 4pm	To provide information & establish issues, priorities. Held in the Village Hall, accessible to all and in the centre of the village. (see para.5.3)
2018	Business Consultation	A list of all businesses in the parishes was compiled and a questionnaire delivered and collected by hand (see para 5.4)
Nov. 2018	Clanna Park Survey	Clanna Park Country Park Survey (see para. 5.5)
9 th Oct 2018	1 st Public Drop-In consultation event 10am to 4pm	Policies and draft plan consultation. Held in the Village Hall, accessible to all and in the centre of the village. (see para. 5.6)
18 th March to 29 th April 2019	Regulation 14 consultation (Six weeks pre submission) information to the community	Emailed to stakeholders & statutory consultees. Publicity to Alvington community: Information in Community newsletter; parish website, posters (see para 6)
July 2019	Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA) Screening Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening	Forest of Dean District Council was commissioned to carry out the HRA & SEA screening for the NDP. Consultees included Natural England, the Environment Agency & English Heritage (App.22)

3. INFORMATION PROVISION AND PUBLICITY

3.1. Publicity included: a page on the parish council website: <https://alvingtonvillage.org/planning/> with meetings dates, minutes and updates, leaflets and information boards at drop-ins, fliers delivered to all households and placed in pubs, the garage and village hall; posters on local noticeboards; a Community Newsletter (delivered to all households) with NDP progress and events. In addition to key consultation stages, regular NDP updates were available through reports at Parish Council meetings.

4. STEERING GROUP

4.1. A Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group was established with Terms of Reference as a working group for Alvington Parish Council for the purpose of guiding and supporting the NDP process. The Steering Group members represented stakeholder organisations and comprised the following:

- Gary Collier, Chair of Parish Council and Steering Group;
- Alan Haslam*, Parish Councillor and Vice Chair
- Keith Albon, resident;
- Alec Davis, resident;
- Verlie Eagles, resident & local history;
- Rachel Murphy, resident;
- Chris Shill, resident;
- John Stinchcombe resident;

Gail Thompson, resident;
Bobby Wintour resident;
Gail Wood, resident;
Ann Thomas, resident;
Jay Walsh, resident;
John Wood, resident;
Dan Price, resident;
Keith Haylock, resident;
Margaret Harris, resident; Eric Robson, resident

* From 2017 Alan Haslam was chair of Parish Council and the Steering Group.

- 4.2 NDP Steering Group meeting notes and reports were sent to Alvington Parish Council after each meeting. Notes of progress of the Steering Group were sent to Forest of Dean District Council Forward Planning at key stages in the NDP and a meeting invited if there were any issues. Lines of communication were maintained throughout the NDP process with Forest of Dean District Council, Alvington Parish Council and the Steering Group. Past notes were available on request from the Parish Council and presented at every meeting. Meetings were open to the public for observation.

5. CONSULTATION EVENTS AND ACTIVITIES

- 5.1 Residents, community groups, businesses as well as agencies have been consulted during the course of the Plan. These included: a Parish Council meeting open to the public to introduce the NDP; specific consultation with businesses and the Clanna Park Estate residents; 2 drop in village NDP events. The Forest of Dean District Council planners were consulted throughout the plan process including Allocations Plan Document consultation.

- 5.2 Events and NDP information were advertised through the Alvington Parish Council website, local press, fliers, community newsletter, and posters, email notifications/invitations sent to organisations/ list of people and word of mouth.

5.3 Alvington NDP Area 1st Consultation Summary

The event took place on Sunday 23rd October 2016 between 10.00am and 4.00pm and held as a drop in session at Alvington Memorial Hall. Refreshments were provided by Verlie Eagles and volunteers.

The NDP event outlined the history of the area with photographs, local history information and maps dating back to the 19th century. There were themed displays: Housing, Transport, Traffic and Road Safety, Flooding, Environment, Work and Infrastructure themed information. Reports, planning documents and character assessments of the area could also be viewed.

The event was advertised through: The Alvington Parish website and newsletter which reaches every household in the Parish, Posters on the Parish notice board and in the village hall.

Flyers were available in the local pubs and village hall. Email invitations to key stakeholders and partners.

109 people signed in with a non-obligatory signature but obligatory postcode (to ensure representation from all Alvington post code areas). The average time people spent at the event was 45 minutes to 1 hour.

A questionnaire was given to every attendee and also available online. Many people filled in the questionnaire over refreshments where they had the opportunity to discuss issues. Members of the Steering Group and the GRCC Community Adviser were on hand to answer questions and encourage comments.

Attendees were consulted on the following: Distinctive character; Housing; Transport; Traffic and Road Safety; Flooding; Environment; Work and Infrastructure; what people liked about Alvington and what could be improved; priority topics.

The comments were analysed externally.

a) **Questionnaire:**

76 questionnaires were completed from a wide postcode area. The age range was as follows:

AGE	up to 11	12- 17	18 - 25	26 - 59	Age 60+	No age ticked
Nos. of respondents	5	1	1	31	35	3

Key Points from the Questionnaire:

Respondents generally liked the quiet, peaceful rural countryside and friendly community. There were some comments about accessibility for commuting.

Improvements were mainly around road safety, traffic and the A48. Other improvements were the general look of the village and facilities. Lack of broadband and mobile phone coverage were the major infrastructure comments but general infrastructure considerations when developments were permitted were a concern.

b) Numbers of comments by topic:

1.What do you like?					2. What could be improved				
Countryside/ scenery	Peace & quiet	Community	Small size	Access	A48/ traffic	Facilities/ tidiness	Transport	Infrastructure	Development
25	10	7	19	13	40	27	11	8	16

c) Priorities from the questionnaire in order of importance:

	Very Important		Quite Important		Not Important		No opinion	No reply
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	Number
a) Traffic/Road safety	50	61%	22	27%	0	0%	2	8
r) Footpaths	42	51%	26	32%	3	4%	1	11
b) Local character	41	50%	29	35%	3	4%		9
g) Environment	37	45%	32	39%	0	0%		13
f) Public Transport	33	40%	28	34%	7	9%	3	11
o) Fuel station/ store	33	40%	27	33%	8	10%	1	13
c) Buildings design	30	37%	32	39%	8	10%	2	10
k) Education	27	33%	23	28%	10	12%	7	15
q) Pubs	26	32%	26	32%	10	12%	6	14
d) Heritage	26	32%	35	43%	5	6%	3	13
n) Facilities for young people	26	32%	31	38%	6	7%	6	13
p) Church	24	29%	24	29%	15	18%	8	11
i) Health Facilities	22	27%	29	35%	14	17%	2	15
m) Facilities for older people	22	27%	39	48%	3	4%	6	12
e) Sports	21	26%	31	38%	11	13%	5	14
l) Affordable Housing	19	23%	25	30%	15	18%	9	14
j) Employment	18	22%	28	34%	17	21%	5	14
h) Arts	5	6%	19	23%	28	34%	14	16

Key points from Comments:

People were asked to comment on what they value under specific headings: Housing, Transport, Traffic and Road Safety, Flooding, Environment, Work and Infrastructure.

Key Points from Comments:

Housing: Issues around sustainability alongside no building on farmland and better infrastructure for existing homes as well as infrastructure considerations for new developments.

Transport: Necessity for car ownership, restricted public transport to Lydney and Chepstow including railway stations.

Traffic and Road Safety: Heavy traffic including large lorries and farm machinery, also speeds of vehicles. Safety issues and need for an A48 crossing. Safety issues around Clanna Lane and A48 junction. Parking issues especially around the Globe pub.

Flooding: Flooding has increased over 20 years. Do not build on 'flood plain'. Issues around specific areas at Swan Hill and Clanna Lane/Road. Better drainage in Clanna Lane.

Environment: Extend the conservation area to include Knapp Lane. Comments on footpaths and better maintenance. Village environment- control of signage.

Work: No comments

Work and Infrastructure: Poor mobile phone and broadband coverage.

5.4 Business Survey Summary

Businesses were identified through websites, local knowledge and phone directory. Members of the Steering Group contacted businesses by phone, email, hard copy personally posted or face to face. The hard copy questionnaire was collected by the Steering Group member and also filled in during phone and face to face interviews.

The questionnaire was designed to find out business information i.e. ownership of premises; length of time operating; number of employees and mode of transport to work and infrastructure i.e. was the infrastructure adequate to run the business, specifically broadband, mobile phone, transport and roads.

There were also questions relating to how the infrastructure impacts on the business and plans for future expansion. There was also an opportunity for further comment.

Not all the questions were answered as some were not applicable or were left blank.

- a) The Business information: of the 92 businesses identified, 10 had closed; 3 were outside the parish boundary; 4 were identified as dormant and 1 had moved outside the parish.

The majority are small businesses employing under 5 employees and sole traders offering a variety of services and trades e.g. Theatre Production Carpenter, Bespoke Catering, Special Effects Makeup (International). One pub has 13 employees.

In total there were 73 businesses who responded to the questionnaire. Of the 73 respondents who answered the questions about their business:

53% own their premises while 22% have a lease; 3% have been operational for 1 year; 18% for 2-5 years; 15% for 6-10 years; 37% for more than 10 years.

Of the questions answered: 64 people are employed; 8 employees lived in Alvington; 14 employees travel 0-5miles; 7 employees travel 6-10 miles; 3 employees travel over 10 miles; 8 walk to work; 13 use a vehicle; 2 use public transport.

- b) Infrastructure: all 73 respondents replied to Infrastructure questions: 45% said broadband was adequate and 53% inadequate; 34% said that mobile phone coverage was adequate and 66% inadequate.

A variety of mobile phone providers used included BT, O2, Vodafone, EE, Tesco, Orange and THREE. The majority of respondents used Vodafone (16) and EE (28)

Comments regarding Broadband stated that it was very slow and often intermittent. Generally businesses need high speed broadband while comments state speed is around 2mb. Where the business relied on technology this has had a negative effect with one respondent reporting they were changing their business.

Mobile phone comments stated that poor or in some cases no signal had affected business calls; different providers appeared to make no difference.

Comments regarding transport mainly concern the amount of traffic on the main A48, especially at rush hours, and the poor state of the road making their business less accessible.

- c) Key Comments:

Mobile Phone - very poor have to go up the hill to get a good reception. This makes communication with clients and workers, land line too expensive.

Broad band poor - drops out. We sell by online website so broadband is essential. Re: plans to change business - perhaps.

Broadband - loads of problems regularly goes down. Mobile phones - not very good

Wifi is crucial to pub business - it makes our customers think about returning
There is Pay point, Lotto and a cash machine on site which all rely on internet signal to work. Sometimes the signal strength is too weak for these machines to operate meaning we therefore lose trade. We have tried various network providers for the sites internet service but still have problems and have regular visits from engineers to try and improve this. Mobile phone reception on all major networks is a problem; most of the time there is not a strong enough signal to even make a call let alone pick up 3G which seems non-existent in this area! Can't easily keep up with business emails. No phone calls and text.

Slow internet access sometimes unable to access bank and websites, emails etc.

Broadband service is very poor. BT say we can get up to 8 MBs but most of the time this is closer to 2 MBs! Mobile signal is also very bad.

Public transport available is virtually none.

A48, if you wish to get to Gloucester or get passed Chepstow in the morning, forget it! Transport and road issues affect business - 'difficult in sales'

Roads - A48 has become much worse over the last 7 years. More commercial vehicles and they now start at 3am whereas used to be 5am.

5.5 Clanna Park Survey Summary

Background

Clanna is a spacious park set around the walled gardens and servant's quarters of what was Clanna House which has 55 plots for Park Homes, 10 residential and 45 leisure homes. All residents are 'long term'. Planning consent on the holiday section of the Clanna estate allows park homes to be used for holiday purposes only, though there is a full 12 month open season for this. The planning condition granted by Appeal Decision Notice APP/P1615/A/02/1081966 reads as follows:

The accommodation hereby permitted shall be used for holiday purposes only, and shall not be used as permanent or main residences. A register of all occupiers, detailing dates, names and usual addresses shall be maintained by the owners, and shall be kept up-to-date and available for inspection by the local planning authority.

The Survey was carried out during November 2018 to ensure the resident's particular issues were understood. 44 surveys were delivered and 28 returned a 63.6% response rate. At the time of the survey there were 3 vacancies, 4 residents in hospital and 4 on vacation.

Age groups of residents: 55 to 60 – 1; 60 to 70 – 6; 70 to 80 – 12; Over 80 – 9.

21 out of 28 of residents who responded are over 70.

Length of time resident at the park: 9 reported less than 10 years; 13 between 10 to 15 years; 5 over 15 years. There was 1 no answer and one response to two age groups. Asked whether residential or Leisure Park resident: there was some confusion with some residents unsure of their status. 15 reported they were residential and 13 leisure.

2 residents had circled residential but in discussion discovered they were leisure; 3 have circled both; 3 did not respond

- a) Main Issues: Respondents were asked to tick the 3 main issues most important to them from the list (priorities from the drop in consultation) and add any other.

Pot holes	28
Broadband Signal	16
Transport	15
Full residency	9
Mobile Phone Signal	7
Street Lighting Clanna Lane	2
Security	2
Flooding	1
Full Council Tax	1
Distance to amenities	1

- b) Asked how adequate Broadband is, 18 respondents reported it was not adequate and 9 that it was adequate. 1 did not reply.
Comments: speed, too slow, unavailable, cannot stream, use TV hub or TV catch up. 3 or 4 times a day no Broadband. Rural installed at my cost, but poor in bad weather; Broadband service can be intermittent and download speeds slow. This makes gaining and using information tenuous; Poor broadband cannot stream, internet download hopeless poor speed.
Broadband providers were reported as: BT, Post Office, Plus net, EE, Sky, Talk Talk
Asked how adequate Mobile Phone is, 14 respondents reported it was adequate and 12 it was not. 1 did not reply.
Comments:_Mainly signal problems, unreliable for emergencies; no mobile signal; mobile 3/4G Sporadic; mobile signal is so variable that it cannot be relied upon making any emergency use negligible;
Mobile Phone Providers were reported as: BT, Vodafone, 02, EE, Tesco, Apple, 3, Orange.
- c) Asked how adequate is Transport
8 respondents reported it was adequate and 16 it was not. 4 did not reply
Comments:_No public transport available to Clanna, very poor on main road A48. Causes isolation from family and friends without owning a car; elderly residents require public transport, unable to get to doctors, hospitals and shops; problems do and will arise when we cannot use our own transport; transport is required as public transport inadequate and non-existent to Clanna; ok at present as both drive, maybe a problem in the future.
- d) Asked how adequate the roads are, 7 respondents reported it was adequate and 19 it was not. 2 did not reply
- e) Comments: Mainly Clanna Lane. Require urgent repairs, cause damage to cars, dangerous for walkers, cyclists and cars. Roads described as disgusting and worse than third world country roads. State of Roads outside entrance to Clanna Country Park need urgent pot hole repairs; constant repairs to car due to poor roads, need car no other transport; local road very poorly maintained especially road to Park entrance. Have suffered wheel damage due to pot holes. Poor communication with friends and

family and isolation; potholed lanes dangerous; road from A48 to park disgusting. Have a long walk or have to pay for a taxi to go out in the evening. Other issues included dangerous trees; autumn leaves clearing; full residency.

5.6 Alvington NDP Area 2nd Consultation Event Summary

The event took place on Sunday 9th October 2018 between 10.00am and 4.00pm and held as a drop in session at Alvington Memorial Hall with tea and cake refreshments provided by Verlie Eagles, Steering Group member and volunteers.

The NDP event displayed photographs projected onto a wall, local history information and maps dating back to the 19th century. The displays showed the draft plan, vision, objectives and policies covering: Local Community, Retail, Commercial Facilities and Services; New Community and Recreation Facilities; Reducing Surface Water Flooding; Protecting and Enhancing Local Wildlife; Protecting and Enhancing Local Landscape Character; Design Guidance for New Buildings and Extensions in the Conservation Area; General Building Design for Development within the Settlement Boundary; Housing Mix. The newly adopted Local Plan, Reports e.g. flooding, traffic, planning documents, character assessments of the area and other evidence collected by the Steering Group to support the Plan could also be viewed.

The event was advertised through: The Alvington Parish website, newsletter & A5 flyers to every household in the Parish. Posters on Parish notice board, telegraph poles, bus shelter, fuel station & in the village hall. Newsletters, A4 Posters & A5 Flyers available in the local pubs, fuel station and village hall Email invitations to key stakeholders and partners.

68 people signed in with a non-obligatory signature but obligatory postcode. The time people spent at the event was around 1.5 hours. There were other events on the day including a local popular all day Vintage Car Fair which could account for the small turnout. Two landowners attended, one from Somerset the other from Worcester.

A questionnaire about Footpaths and Pavements was given to every attendee and also available online to ascertain whether there was an accessibility issue in the parish.

Members of the Steering Group and the GRCC Adviser were on hand to answer questions and encourage comments.

Consultation: attendees were given the opportunity to view and comment on the draft Alvington Neighbourhood Plan including the draft Vision, Objectives and Policies in the context of planning policy, evidence and other relevant information. Consultees were asked to tick whether they agreed or disagreed with the draft vision, objectives and policies and were given the opportunity to put comments in envelopes against each. These were sealed and GRCC analysed the results.

a) Results of Community Consultation of Alvington Draft Plan

	NUMBERS		COMMENTS
	AGREE	DISAGREE	
DRAFT VISION	36	0	1. Add safe place to live.
C1 DRAFT POLICY Local Retail,	32	0	0

Community Commercial Facilities and Services			
C2 DRAFT POLICY New Community, Recreation Facilities	31	0	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Needs checking as different to FODDC Allocation Plan page154. Needs controlled crossing over main A48 for all people to access especially children. That a safe pedestrian crossing be provided to allow villagers to cross main road to the playing field. Internet awful (0.5mbps most days - then suddenly 6 for an hour or two).
F1 DRAFT POLICY Reducing Surface Water Flooding	36	0	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Bottom of Swan Hill, Mays Garden sewage add to policy any houses will connect. Imperative that run off is controlled and adequate drainage is put in and updated to prevent flooding in Clanna Lane and at the bottom of Swan Hill. Sewage. Will be pleased when sewage has been sorted out at Swan Hill in floods. Include Swan Hill sewage issue Swan Hill overflows raw sewage during heavy rain. Absolutely no development should be allowed until the surface water and sewerage drains are increased in capacity. I noticed as a newcomer that the corner of Knapp Lane was very bad during the heavy rain we had earlier in the year. The drain is there one there? Does not seem to be cleared.
NE1 DRAFT POLICY Protecting Enhancing Local Wildlife	35	0	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Hedgerows at top of Garlands Road not cut for last 2 years need to be cut by two thirds. Inside of hedge cut annually. Hedgerows removed by farmers should be replaced. Strong feeling no more lighting even if new development. NO MORE STREET LIGHTING.
NE2 DRAFT POLICY Protecting and Enhancing Local Landscape Character	32	0	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Hedgerows also vital for wildlife. Orchard next to Sports Field should be important open space. Bring open spaces in line with allocation plan.
BE1 DRAFT POLICY Guidance for New Buildings and Extensions in Conservation Area	34	0	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Should Wickson orchard next to sports field be 'green space'? Many properties are not of Welsh slate my property has artificial slate. Absolutely NO development in the open spaces in the conservation area. What is happening about abandoned shop in Church Lane 39 year's derelict.
BE2 DRAFT POLICY General Building Design for Development	35	0	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Court Lane will require some street lighting if pavilion is installed Garage or space for cars is needed as public transport is not frequent.
HM1 DRAFT POLICY Housing Mix	33	0	0

b) Footpaths and Pavements Questionnaire

A questionnaire concerning Footpaths was included because footpaths had been identified as a priority however it was not clear how important for connectivity or if there was a differentiation between footpaths and pavements. Information about these differences accompanied the survey. 22 questionnaires were received, although not all questions were completed.

5 respondents reported they used footpaths every day; 6 once a month; 1 regularly.

12 respondents used the footpaths for leisure including dog walking; 1 travel to school; 1 travel to work; 4 travel to shops, pub etc; 2 other.

Asked how important are footpaths, 10 reported very important; 1 important.

Respondents were asked which footpaths do you use and why using the reference on the Footpath map, showing the exact footpath and location of the issue.

Key points from all footpath responses:

Stiles dangerous and too high & broken. Potholes always rough. Big pot holes and ruts from timber hauling. Footpaths not maintained - often ploughed over. Overgrown. Often obstructed by parked vehicles in middle of footpath at entrance and overgrown. Some overhanging trees look like they may fall on your feet and are dangerous.

No marked signs

Steps dangerous up/down from Swan Tea Rooms to playing field.

Have tried number of times to use footpath- often blocked by stock and electric fences. Path and bridleway adjacent to farm buildings FOUL with waste and effluent run off from the farm. Slurry foul always. Always horses in field all year round to dangerous to traverse. Difficult Stile: overgrown with crops

Walk to and from bus stop. Leisure walking, walk to and from the Swan Pub/Swan Cafe. NB. Walking to Woolaston Primary School too dangerous. Cars/Trucks wind pulls you with little children in tow far too dangerous. They are very dangerous something needs to be done. I feel very unsafe using them.

6. PRE SUBMISSION CONSULTATION ON THE DRAFT NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

6.1 Alvington draft Neighbourhood Plan was published for consultation according to Regulation 14 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012:

Alvington draft Neighbourhood Plan is published for consultation according to Regulation 14 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 from 12pm Monday 18th March to 12pm on Monday 29th April 2019.

Please send any comments to: alvingtonclerk@outlook.com. To view the plan and for more information please visit the Alvington Parish Council NDP web pages at <https://alvingtonvillage.org/planning/> or contact the clerk using the aforementioned email address.

Statutory consultees and stakeholders were notified by email with hard copies on offer if required. A reminder was sent before the closing date and further reminder and an extension of 2 weeks offered to Severn Trent who had not responded by the closing date.

Regulation 14 consultation was publicised in the Community Newsletter, posters and on the parish website for the community to comment.

6.2 **Schedule 1, Regulation 3 of the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012 Statutory Consultation**

Statutory Consultees: Environment Agency; English Heritage; Forestry Commission; Gloucestershire County Council; Highways Agency; Historic England; Forest of Dean District Council; Rail Network Operators; Natural England; NHS Gloucestershire; Severn Trent Water

Non Statutory Consultees

Lydney Town Council; Aylburton Parish Council; Woolaston Parish Council; District Environmental Health Officer: Lydney Doctors Surgery; Gloucestershire Constabulary; Aylburton & Woolaston Primary School; Dean Academy; FoDDC Architectural Liaison Officer; Alvington Sports Pavilion Group; Alvington Memorial Hall.

Landowners & Stakeholders in the Parish

Alvington Court Farm; Barnage Farm - WK & CA Martyn and Son; Court End Farm - Williams's family; Forestry Commission Glebe Farm; Hayden Bendall - Rangemoor House, Alyburton; Wickson Orchard - John Wickson contact via Richard Heys; Lydney Park Estate; N & K Cooke and Sons (based outside parish); Park Farm; Woolaston Court – John HOWATT (based outside parish); Globe - Public House; Blacksmiths Arms - Public House; Alvington Convenience Shop and BP fuel Station; St Andrews Church; Clanna Park;

6.3 **Statutory Consultation Analysis**

See Appendix 23 Alvington Parish Consultation Submissions