

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
001	Email / letter	A Chapman	General comment	Overall, I support the draft plan and congratulate those who have clearly put a lot of time into its development to this stage. I have set out below some areas where I feel some further focus and clarity would improve the impact of the objectives of the plan.	Comments noted – no change required	No
002	Email / letter	A Chapman	General comment	Policy Addition -Forest Waste. Forest waste is a unique and key characteristic of the area. Reliance on the design guidance does not adequately weigh or address the value of the characteristic. I therefore recommend a 'Forest Waste' policy is incorporated into the plan. A policy and supporting text should clearly explain and define forest waste and highlight important characteristics.	Forest Waste is referenced throughout the Neighbourhood Plan. Forest of Dean Core Strategy Policy CSP9 deals with the protection and provision of Forest Waste. This is referenced in paragraph 11.14 of the Neighbourhood Plan. As there is already a strategic policy in place it is not necessary to duplicate this in the Neighbourhood Plan.	No
003	Email / letter	A Chapman	Policy 15: Tourism related development	Taking account of the vision of the plan and the criteria of the plan, I recommend greater emphasis is given to sustainable locations for tourism development and the following criteria should be added to the policy. Tourism development should be located where it can take advantage of or make a significant contribution to active travel or low carbon forms of transport. Tourism development is more like to be supported where it is within easy cycling or walking distances to local services such as a shop, pub and bus services.	Reference added after first sentence to where possible supporting active forms of travel and sustainably located development	Yes
004	Email / letter	A Chapman	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	The policy relates to a piece of strategic infrastructure for the NDP area and this should be emphasised in the policy by adding 'local strategic infrastructure' in the policy title. Some flexibility could also be added to the enable a phased delivery of the local infrastructure. Due to the strategic nature of the policy, its relationship to active travel, sustainable development and tackling the causes of climate change. Major development within the NDP area should be looking to support its delivery. I would therefore recommend the policy includes 'Major development may contribute to the delivery of the multi use track where it can clearly form part of a sustainable transport plan for a development'. I would also support a stronger policy, requiring contributions, where it can be justified.	It is considered unlikely that major development will come forward in the Neighbourhood Plan Area that would result in developer contributions (outline permission on Pin Factory site already granted) - no change needed.	No
005	Email / letter	A Chapman	Forest Edge South Design Guidance (AECOM, 2022)	Design Guide 01 area wide design principles I recommend that 'scale & mass' is also included in the area-wide principles as it relates to the overall irregular settlement pattern: 'Development proposals should ensure the mass and scale of new buildings within overall plot sizes does not adversely affect the local settlement pattern or character. Design Guide 02 area wide design principles an additional bullet point is recommend to highlight the importance of boundary treatments in relation to green infrastructure and character. ' Special attention should be given to boundary treatments of new development to ensure they reflect the local character and enable visual and biodiversity permeability. LLCA 03 U is universal to the NDP area and therefore is more appropriately located within the area wide characteristics Design Guide 05 (e). I consider some clarification is required in relation to 'enclosure'. I think the term is related to the sense of enclosure around plots rather than the sense of feeling enclosed when in the street scene. The latter being something I would not support. Design Guide 09 I would recommend including an additional bullet point to in this section to clarify that 'A new low carbon building not well related to access local facilities and services is unlikely to demonstrate sustainable development due to cumulative transport impacts.	Design Guidance has been revised, where appropriate, to reflect comments received during consultation.	Yes

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
006	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Section 1 – Introduction	Section1 – Introduction: - It is admirable that the Forest Edge South Neighbourhood Plan has been prepared to reflect the views that residents of the six villages of Whitecroft, Parkend, Oldcroft, Pillowell,, Yorkley and Viney Hill, as well as surrounding landscapes in the NDP area, wish to see their area develop over the next 20 years. Local input is crucial regarding this project.	Comments noted – no change required	No
007	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Section 2 – Forest Edge South in Context	Section 2 – Forest Edge South in Context: - The Neighbourhood plan aims to ensure that Forest Edge South develops in a way that supports the livelihoods and wellbeing of its residents and visitors, both current and in the future, whilst preserving and enhancing the character of the neighbourhood. This is a very desirable objective.	Comments noted – no change required	No
008	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Section 3 – Whitecroft	3.7 Page 24: - Saunders Green is a park landscape and allotment, COMMENT. This is a very important open green space much enjoyed by the community. The allotment is productive and contributes to the FODDC and WDPC Climate Change project. 3.10 Adjacent to the B4234 is the old pin factory site, it is a series of single storey units plus the original 19th century two storey stone building. It is currently the subject of a planning application to build houses and commercial employment space. COMMENT. The FODDC Planning Allocation is currently in deficit. The correct mix of new development here could provide much needed affordable homes and if given planning permission this would help FODDC meet the deficit in their allocations plan. 3.20 Page 26. The Rudge brook runs through this part of the village, trout can be found in the stream. COMMENT. The WDPC Climate Change group monitor this habitat and regularly check the quality of the water. Old Perry Pear trees can be found here, these are also monitored by WPDC Climate Change group.	Comments noted – no change required	No
009	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Section 4 – Pillowell	Pillowell. 4.2 Page 28 There is a well-attended primary school. WDPC councillors are pleased to support this school. There are a number of small open areas which are enjoyed by residents; WDPC councillors help ensure these areas remain in good order. 4.24 Page 32. A new group of trustees are reviving the recreation field, this is a very important area as close by are numerous habitats for, wild boar/deer//badgers/foxes/and a wide variety of birds. COMMENT/ councillor's and volunteer resident's, nurture and protect this diverse ecological environment.	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
010	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Section 5 – Yorkley	5.0 Yorkley. Page 34. COMMENT. Yorkley is a thriving community. Its residents work tirelessly to provide community assets; It has a thriving primary school and playgroup, which is very well supported by a large number of organisations and volunteers who are continuously striving to support these community assets. The Recreation ground is home to Yorkley Football Club, a very busy children’s skatepark and playground. In the grounds a modern community centre exists which is well used by a large number of organisations. The Community Centre volunteers and residents assisted by WDPC councillors work hard to maintain and improve these community assets. Numerous wildlife habitats exist in and around Yorkley encouraged and nurtured by WDPC. COMMENT. local councillors and volunteers ensure, 5.14 Free roaming Forest sheep, wild boar, Fallow and Muntjac deer and numerous other species, are regularly visible and are secure and safe. The community and WDPC are proud of the open green area in Yorkley known as Captains Green. This is a valuable public space which is proudly protected by local residents and WDPC.	Comments noted – no change required	No
011	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Section 6 – Oldcroft and Viney Hill	Oldcroft & Viney Hill 6.20 Page 45. There is an important network of recognised footpaths here that link Oldcroft to the Severn Valley. Local residents and visiting rambles diligently and regularly walk the paths in order to ensure they remain open.	Comments noted – no change required	No
012	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Section 7 – Parkend	Parkend 7.2 The greater Parkend includes a significant part of the statutory forest with many of the Forest of Dean’s major tourist attractions. This area is a significant contributor to FODDC’s project to increase the growth of tourism to the area, thus expanding the local economy. The village and environs contain a high proportion of open space known as forest waste. Local residents and WDPC councillors remain vigilant in order to make sure that this beautiful asset remains free and open to the public. There is a strong industrial link to the village with remnants of railways, ironwork and collieries. Local railway enthusiasts gladly give voluntary service in order to retain this important industrial history.	Comments noted – no change required	No
013	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Section 9 – Vision and Objectives	COMMENT. I agree with the following statement: - The changing climate will pose challenges to all residents in the way they live and work. In response to this challenge, most Parish Councils, including WDPC, have very active climate change committees who are promoting carbon reduction programmes encouraging home insulation installation, solar power and more electricity charging points. It is important to note that this plan is compatible with the 2030 net zero target that the FODDC has set.	See Policy Theme 1.	No
014	Email / letter	Bill Evans	General comment	Neighbourhood Plan Policies. Part 3, Page 61. Climate change, sustainability, and Biodiversity. fully agree with and support this policy! 11.4 The Forest Edge South Neighbourhood Plan, therefore, recognises that a climate emergency and a biodiversity emergency have been declared, and the decision-making process should be in line with what is known about carbon emissions and biodiversity loss.	Comments noted – no change required	No
015	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	COMMENT. I agree with and support Policy 1. page 64 Sustainable Design and construction in new developments.	Comments noted – no change required	No
016	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	Policy 2. 11.15 page 65, Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments. I agree with and support this policy.	Comments noted – no change required	No
017	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 3: Allotments and community gardens	Policy 3. 11.19, page 66, Allotments, and community gardens. I agree with and support Policy 3.	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
018	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Policy 4. Page 68. Renewable and low carbon energy developments. I agree with and support Policy 4.	Comments noted – no change required	No
019	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 5: Design in new developments	Policy 5. Page 70 12.10 I agree and support Policy 5: "All new developments should be of a high-quality design and adhere to the Design Guidelines set out in Section 4 of the Forest Edge South Design Guidance (2022).	Comments noted – no change required	No
020	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 7: Landscape character	Policy 7. Page 73 I agree with and wholeheartedly support Policy 7. Landscape character. To protect and enhance the valued local landscape character as set out in the Forest Edge South Design Guidance (2022) and character assessments)	Comments noted – no change required	No
021	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 8: Local green spaces	COMMENT. The above listed Local Green spaces have been cared for, maintained and protected by residents/community and supported by West Dean Parish Council it is absolutely critical that the community are allowed and encouraged/supported to continue in their guardian role.	Comments noted – no change required	No
022	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	HOUSING & EMPLOYMENT: these are twin challenges for the Forest Of Dean. The area is now post-industrial; many people now regularly commute out of the forest every day, and many work in the aerospace industry, which is located in Bristol (British Aerospace and Rolls Royce). Alternatively, many travel to Gloucester, where Messier Dowty and Smiths Industries operate from. FODDC are reliant on the care and tourism sectors, two very important sectors however the wages paid by both these sectors are not attractive enough for young aspiring workers, unfortunately salaries paid are not enough to allow a young couple to purchase their own home. Policy 13 First Homes (page 90) addresses this problem:- First Homes should be delivered in accordance with the minimum requirements set out in the Forest of Dean Local Plan and National Planning Policy and should account for at least 25% of all affordable housing units delivered by developers through planning obligations. Any First Homes that are delivered in the Neighbourhood Plan area must be discounted by a minimum of 50% against market value. COMMENT. This policy must be supported,As it is a positive attempt to address this growing problem of affordability for first time buyers.	Comments noted – no change required	No
023	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 14: New employment floorspace	13.21. Page 90. Providing more floorspace that is suitable for supporting the establishment and growth of small and starter businesses will also contribute to opportunities for self - employment in the area and help reduce levels of out commuting. COMMENT. I strongly support and agree with 13.21 as I am aware others will as well.	Comments noted – no change required	No
024	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	14.5. To comply with climate emergency measures, authorities need to ensure that developments are linked with public transport in order to ensure that reliance on private vehicles is reduced. I agree this essential.	Comments noted – no change required	No
025	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	14.9. Page 97. Lydney – Parkend-multi-use-track. This is a key asset to the Forest of Dean. This will enhance the area and provide a safe off-road route through the Forest, linking it to the Lydney mainline train station. COMMENT. The go-ahead for the Dean Forest Greenway is intrinsic for the successful future development of the area. "I Strongly Support for this exciting, proposed project".	Comments noted – no change required	No
026	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 15: Tourism related development	Policy 15. Infrastructure and Amenities Page 99. I agree and support policies 15.1 – to 15.6.	Comments noted – no change required	No
027	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	Policy 19 Page 100 Digital Infrastructure. I agree and support 15.7 to 15.13.	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
028	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 20: Existing community facilities	Policies 20/21 Existing community facilities and open spaces. New Community facilities COMMENT. I agree and support policies 20/21.	Comments noted – no change required	No
029	Email / letter	Bill Evans	Policy 22: Small-scale retail	Policy 22. Small -scale retail. Page 106. I agree and support Policy 22.	Comments noted – no change required	No
030	Paper questionnaire	Sylvia Frances	Section 1 – Introduction	What did the housing needs survey find	This is detailed in the accompanying Housing Needs Survey Report	No
031	Paper questionnaire	Sylvia Frances	Section 2 – Forest Edge South in Context	Bus routes are poor as is rail transport. Poor education and lack of good employment	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
032	Paper questionnaire	Sylvia Frances	Section 3 – Whitecroft	Issues with soil and water table pollution	Comments noted – no change required	No
033	Paper questionnaire	Sylvia Frances	Section 8 – Opportunities and Constraints	Need wildlife protection. Need infrastructure development. Fuel impact on the environment.	See Policy Theme 1.	No
034	Paper questionnaire	Sylvia Frances	Policy 3: Allotments and community gardens	Need to be developed	This Policy aims to provide further provision for the allotments and community gardens. Comments noted – no change required	No
035	Paper questionnaire	Sylvia Frances	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Need affordable homes and more size/price/ownership mix.	The Neighbourhood Plan policies support the provision of affordable homes and a housing mix to suit local needs. Comments noted – no change required	No
036	Paper questionnaire	Sylvia Frances	Policy 12: Housing for older people	Need for sheltered housing and extra care	Comments noted – no change required	No
037	Paper questionnaire	Sylvia Frances	Policy 15: Tourism related development	Tourism can increase employment opportunities	Comments noted – no change required	No
038	Paper questionnaire	Sylvia Frances	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	Poor parking. Need electric charge points	See Policy 1 re charging points.	No
039	Paper questionnaire	Sylvia Frances	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	Public transport lacks bus routes and trains are unreliable.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
040	Paper questionnaire	Sylvia Frances	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	Need better connectivity	Comments noted – no change required	No
041	Paper questionnaire	Sylvia Frances	Policy 21: New community facilities	Need village shops and post office	Comments noted – no change required	No
042	Paper questionnaire	Sylvia Frances	Section 17 – Monitoring and Review	Good summary of what needed	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
043	Email / letter	John Kendrick	General comment	The attached site, edged red and blue, should be included within the settlement boundary for Parkend as it forms an integral part of the existing built residential environment. It should not be sterilised arbitrarily from development; it is in a sustainable location and would add to the economic and social structure of the area by providing a range and choice of new dwellings. It should be considered on the basis it is a Brown Field site which should be developed in preference to Green Field sites and it represents a rounding off of this part of Parkend from a residential development point of view. in spatial terms. There are no severe constraints with regard to its development and no requirement for uneconomic infrastructure or other services. It should be included within the Plan document and the emerging N.D.P.	The existing settlement boundaries are defined through the Forest of Dean Local Plan rather than through the Neighbourhood Plan, so the requested change cannot be made. The Neighbourhood Plan is not seeking to identify or allocate any sites for development – no change required	No
044	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Section 3 – Whitecroft	Destined to be a commuter village with houses and no additional amenities. Car use will grow, so public transport has limited benefits.	Comments noted – no change required	No
045	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	Essential but give thought as to how they be used	Comments noted – no change required	No
046	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 3: Allotments and community gardens	More allotments and community gardens are needed	This Policy looks to support them.	No
047	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 5: Design in new developments	Inkeeping with the forest and a mix housing. More homes for older people to free up larger family houses	See Policy 12.	No
048	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 8: Local green spaces	Need to be maintained	Comments noted – no change required	No
049	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 9: Infill development	Smaller developments instead of estates are needed.	Comments noted – no change required	No
050	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Essential to get a good mix. Social housing needed for local people	The Neighbourhood Plan policies support the provision of affordable homes and a housing mix to suit local needs. Comments noted – no change required	No
051	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 12: Housing for older people	Essential both private and PRS	Comments noted – no change required	No
052	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 13: First Homes	Important but only if work opportunities are nearby	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
053	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 14: New employment floorspace	Great idea if roads can cope	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
054	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 15: Tourism related development	Why don't facilities be made available for cyclists?	Please see section e of this policy which relates to sustainability of travel.	No
055	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	Parking will always be an issue. New developments need more parking	Comments noted – no change required	No
056	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	Greenway to Lydney is vital	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
057	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	So vital to locals and tourists	Comments noted – no change required	No
058	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	Needed for many reasons	Comments noted – no change required	No
059	Paper questionnaire	Karen Wilson	Policy 22: Small-scale retail	People will always go to supermarkets. But village shops are needed.	Comments noted – no change required	No
060	Paper questionnaire	Cath Goodwin	Section 3 – Whitecroft	Open spaces and community spaces. Section of land running up Charleswod Road part of Hope Cottage deeds	Comments noted – no change required	No
061	Paper questionnaire	Mike Connon	Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	Never enough but the forest is on our doorstep	Comments noted – no change required	No
062	Paper questionnaire	Mike Connon	Policy 5: Design in new developments	I am all for reopening buildings in context with their environment, but we should preclude challenging design.	This Policy supports visually interesting design.	No
063	Paper questionnaire	Mike Connon	Policy 8: Local green spaces	Pillowell has no designated local green space	The Recreation Ground was considered for potential allocation as a Local Green Space but Trustees requested this was not included following consultation with them. No change needed.	No
064	Paper questionnaire	Mike Connon	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	Pillowell was the first neighbourhood to get fibre	Comments noted – no change required	No
065	Paper questionnaire	Mike Connon	Section 17 – Monitoring and Review	never gets done	Comments noted – no change required	No
066	Paper questionnaire	Mike Connon	Section 8 – Opportunities and Constraints	transport is not good	See Policy Theme 4.	No
067	Paper questionnaire	Mike Connon	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Important open areas seem to be replaced with local green space and other open areas	Comments noted – no change required	No
068	Paper questionnaire	Mike Connon	Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	There is a large amount of detail, which is refreshing. A design guide should be available.	The design guide was made available as part of the consultation. Comments noted – no change required	No
069	Paper questionnaire	Sarah Rickard	General comment	Consultation is too wordy and hard to understand. Need more visuals	A leaflet was provided alongside the Draft Neighbourhood Plan which sought to summarise the key points and policies. The Neighbourhood Plan will primarily be used by potential applicants, developers and the local planning authority as a material consideration when determining planning applications so cannot unfortunately be reduced in length.	No
070	Paper questionnaire	Sarah Rickard	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Electric bike hire	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
071	Paper questionnaire	Sarah Rickard	Policy 7: Landscape character	Why has Pillowell playground not been included	The Recreation Ground was considered for potential allocation as a Local Green Space but Trustees requested this was not included following consultation with them. No change needed.	No
072	Paper questionnaire	Sarah Rickard	Policy 8: Local green spaces	Dreadful to see infill houses in people's gardens. Spoils look and nature of the area	This is addressed in Policy 9(f)	No
073	Paper questionnaire	Sarah Rickard	Policy 10: Live-work units and working from home	More spaces should be made available	The Neighbourhood Plan policy is supportive of applications for new live-work units that come forward. No change required.	No
074	Paper questionnaire	Sarah Rickard	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Always a combination with new build	The Neighbourhood Plan policies support the delivery of a suitable housing mix to meet local needs. Comments noted – no change required	No
075	Paper questionnaire	Sarah Rickard	Policy 12: Housing for older people	Older people should not feel obliged to live in their single bed homes	Comments noted – no change required	No
076	Paper questionnaire	Sarah Rickard	Policy 13: First Homes	First should be favoured - build quality	Comments noted – no change required	No
077	Paper questionnaire	Sarah Rickard	Policy 14: New employment floorspace	Post office lost	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
078	Paper questionnaire	Sarah Rickard	Policy 15: Tourism related development	Cycling off-road in woodland should be monitored. Some are building ramps	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
079	Paper questionnaire	Sarah Rickard	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	With electric car points	See Policy 1 re charging points.	No
080	Paper questionnaire	Sarah Rickard	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	Electric scooters should be trialled.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
081	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	General comment	No policy on pot holes.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
082	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Section 8 – Opportunities and Constraints	More opportunity for younger people to work in entertainment	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
083	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Section 9 – Vision and Objectives	A green and open space	Comments noted – no change required	No
084	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	Any new housing must be in keeping with design and on brownfield land only.	This is covered in Policy 11 - Infill Development and within the Design Guide. No changes required.	No
085	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	This project has been ongoing for years	Comments noted – no change required	No
086	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	No originals in Parkend	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
087	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 20: Existing community facilities	Getting locals to use them is the problem	Comments noted – no change required	No
088	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 21: New community facilities	I don't think any are needed	This Plan disagrees and sees community facilities as important.	No
089	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 22: Small-scale retail	Great and need assistance to keep trading	Comments noted – no change required	No
090	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Section 16 – Implementation	Dealt with by Councillors	Comments noted – no change required	No
091	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	General comment	Nothing on road maintenance	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
092	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	Green spaces are essential and should be important	Comments noted – no change required	No
093	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 3: Allotments and community gardens	I fully support this section. More the better	Comments noted – no change required	No
094	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	This policy must be good all over the world. Great care and consideration must be given to the local.	Comments noted – no change required	No
095	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 5: Design in new developments	All new development should be designed to be in keeping	This policy supports design that creates local character and sense of place.	No
096	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 6: Historic environment	The history of the forest is important for the public	Comments noted – no change required	No
097	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 7: Landscape character	Forestry Commission does a good job	Comments noted – no change required	No
098	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 8: Local green spaces	Must do all we can	Comments noted – no change required	No
099	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 9: Infill development	Continue where possible	Comments noted – no change required	No
100	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 10: Live-work units and working from home	Need to encourage businesses to area first	New employment floorspace is supported under Policy 14. No change required.	No
101	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	The housing mix must include bungalows. Affordable housing for younger people as still out of reach	Policy 11 supports a housing mix as evidenced by the Local Housing Needs Assessment. No change required.	No
102	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 12: Housing for older people	Build more bungalows	Comments noted – no change required	No
103	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 13: First Homes	Somehow you have to find a way of building cheaper homes to get on to the ladder	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
104	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 14: New employment floorspace	Business expansion needed to encourage more employment	Comments noted – no change required	No
105	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 15: Tourism related development	Any development to encourage tourism is a good thing	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
106	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	Need it as part of planning permissions	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
107	Paper questionnaire	Roger Ewynne	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	May need public consultation	Public consultation provided.	No
108	Paper questionnaire	Chris Bryer	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Low cost rental needed to help younger people	The Neighbourhood Plan supports the delivery of affordable housing, including affordable rented accommodation. Comments noted – no change required	No
109	Paper questionnaire	Chris Bryer	Policy 13: First Homes	Low cost rents needed	Comments noted – no change required	No
110	Paper questionnaire	Chris Bryer	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	Not only for new development. Off-road free visitor parking needed	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
111	Paper questionnaire	Chris Bryer	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	Very important. Road is lethal	Comments noted – no change required	No
112	Paper questionnaire	Chris Bryer	Section 3 – Whitecroft	Whitecroft Greenway needs to be finished	Comments noted – no change required	No
113	Paper questionnaire	E Thomas	Section 1 – Introduction	Not enough improvements to road widths and potholes	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
114	Paper questionnaire	E Thomas	Section 4 – Pillowell	Pillowell CA should stay	The Neighbourhood Plan is not proposing any changes to the conservation area.	No
115	Paper questionnaire	E Thomas	Section 6 – Oldcroft and Viney Hill	Owners of free roaming sheep should take more responsibility	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
116	Paper questionnaire	Janet Rowlings	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	FoDDC should encourage wind turbines, particularly if they are community-owned or benefited.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
117	Paper questionnaire	Janet Rowlings	Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	Good to see passive solar gain and rainwater capture mentioned. Insulation should be a requirement.	This is referenced in Guideline 11 of the Design Guide – no change required	No
118	Paper questionnaire	Jennifer Lewis	Policy 9: Infill development	This is often poorly planned and executed. Should be creative	This Plan supports high quality design.	No
119	Paper questionnaire	Jennifer Lewis	Policy 14: New employment floorspace	New work units would be welcome or enlargement of existing units.	Comments noted – no change required	No
120	Paper questionnaire	Jennifer Lewis	Policy 15: Tourism related development	Important consideration	Comments noted – no change required	No
121	Paper questionnaire	Jennifer Lewis	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	Important consideration	Comments noted – no change required	No
122	Paper questionnaire	Jennifer Lewis	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	Important consideration	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
123	Paper questionnaire	Stephanie Shaw	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	Sounds good	Comments noted – no change required	No
124	Paper questionnaire	Stephanie Shaw	Section 3 – Whitecroft	There used to be more pubs in Whitecroft	Comments noted – no change required	No
125	Paper questionnaire	Stephanie Shaw	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	65% affordable ownership and rest for rent	Policy 11 supports a housing mix as evidenced by the Local Housing Needs Assessment. No change required.	No
126	Paper questionnaire	Stephanie Shaw	Policy 12: Housing for older people	Should have bus stop. A live-in warden	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
127	Paper questionnaire	Stephanie Shaw	Policy 15: Tourism related development	What about tents?	Reference to camping sites is included in Policy 14. No change required.	No
128	Paper questionnaire	Stephanie Shaw	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	Parking should be 2 per household plus motorcycles. Should have a place to conceal bins. Trees shouldn't be removed for new housing unless they are old and have no birds in them.	This policy looks to support development that supports adequate visitor car parking - no change required	No
129	Paper questionnaire	Kate Davis	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Requires implemented by building regs should achieve all of these	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
130	Paper questionnaire	Kate Davis	Policy 12: Housing for older people	It would be important to have excellent transport links to support older generation who cannot drive.	Comments noted – no change required	No
131	Paper questionnaire	Kate Davis	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	Great idea. Look forward to cycling safely to Lydney	Comments noted – no change required	No
132	Paper questionnaire	Kate Davis	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	We have star link satellites without waiting for gigaclear.	Comments noted – no change required	No
133	Paper questionnaire	Grace Davis	Section 1 – Introduction	Interesting	Comments noted – no change required	No
134	Paper questionnaire	Grace Davis	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Need to do everything we can in this area. Lucky to have the forest	Comments noted – no change required	No
135	Paper questionnaire	Grace Davis	Policy 13: First Homes	Interesting policy I support. Crucial we keep young people in the forest	Comments noted – no change required	No
136	Paper questionnaire	Grace Davis	Policy 14: New employment floorspace	Good ideas need to be considered in tandem with transport policies	Comments noted – no change required	No
137	Paper questionnaire	Grace Davis	Policy 15: Tourism related development	Great	Comments noted – no change required	No
138	Paper questionnaire	Grace Davis	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	More electric car charging	This policy supports electric charging points.	No
139	Paper questionnaire	Grace Davis	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	Needs reviewing as many leaving due to lack of transport.	This Plan understands the importance of sustainable travel and access for new developments.	No
140	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Section 1 – Introduction	Good language. Does NDP trump the planning department?	The NDP is part of the policy the planning department use to consider applications.	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
141	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Section 2 – Forest Edge South in Context	Only one health centre. Rubbish buses, internet and mobile service	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
142	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	General comment	Good vision. Devil in the detail. Does the plan rule like the planning department?	When adopted the Neighbourhood Plan will form part of the development plan (along with the NPPF and Local Plan) and will be a material consideration in the determination of planning applications. Comments noted – no change required	No
143	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	Retain trees tackle bracken. Who controls the forestry commission?	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
144	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Harvest all local renewables in solar and to all new houses increase social amenities	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
145	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Policy 8: Local green spaces	Mitigation does not work.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
146	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	Transport for public use is a joke. Not frequent, cheap or reliable. The train station needs rebuilding	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
147	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	Discounts for locals. Forestry England does not help	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
148	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Policy 10: Live-work units and working from home	Garden offices must be regulated to not disturb neighbours	Amenity criteria referring to impact of garden office developments added to Policy 10 (where these would not be covered under permitted development rights)	Yes
149	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Section 8 – Opportunities and Constraints	Pipedream to reduce car use without good transport	Comments noted – no change required	No
150	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Section 9 – Vision and Objectives	Cycleways	Comments noted – no change required	No
151	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	All houses to be passive house design with air source heat pumps, solar and insulation	This policy supports green design principles no change required.	No
152	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	Major loss if this does not happen	Comments noted – no change required	No
153	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	Rural properties are ignored. Mobile signal is a joke	Comments noted – no change required	No
154	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Policy 20: Existing community facilities	Eyesores	This Plan supports the existing community facilities.	No
155	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Policy 21: New community facilities	Shop and health centre needed in Whitecroft	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
156	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Section 16 – Implementation	Who trumps who?	This Plan forms part of the development plan and is a material consideration in the determination of planning applications, alongside National Policy and the Forest of Dean Local Plan.	No
157	Paper questionnaire	William Otto	Section 17 – Monitoring and Review	Who corrects the wrong uns	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
158	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	The majority do not have a phone. No app parking	Comments noted – no change required	No
159	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 20: Existing community facilities	Parkend and Yokley do tend to have more facilities than rest	Comments noted – no change required	No
160	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 21: New community facilities	Pillowell would benefit from a health centre, post office, regular bus services	Comments noted – no change required	No
161	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 22: Small-scale retail	Local shops would be good.	Comments noted – no change required	No
162	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Section 9 – Vision and Objectives	Full cohesive community need transport	Comments noted – no change required	No
163	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Section 8 – Opportunities and Constraints	Less face to face mean problem is missed.	Comments noted – no change required	No
164	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Section 6 – Oldcroft and Viney Hill	Old Chapelin - pity not used as a community hub	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
165	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Section 4 – Pillowell	Pillowell appears to have been forgotten about - neglected services	Comments noted – no change required	No
166	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Section 3 – Whitcroft	All amenities are in Yorkley. Better if some here.	Comments noted – no change required	No
167	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 5: Design in new developments	Eco roofing and roof gardens	See Policy 4 which supports green design.	No
168	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 7: Landscape character	We do not wish to lose parts of the landscape which are ecologically rich	Comments noted – no change required	No
169	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 8: Local green spaces	Need monitoring of local green spaces	Comments noted – no change required	No
170	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 10: Live-work units and working from home	Wonderful if village hall could be used	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
171	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Crucial that social housing is replaced to 1970s figures	The Neighbourhood Plan seeks to support provision of affordable housing, including affordable rented (social) housing. No change required.	No
172	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 12: Housing for older people	Would be beneficial if more bungalows were built. Wardens on site	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
173	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 13: First Homes	Mortgage alternatives only way young people get on ladder	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
174	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 14: New employment floorspace	Great	Comments noted – no change required	No
175	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 15: Tourism related development	Compatible with surrounding area this should be well	Comments noted – no change required	No
176	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	Make sure landscape is not sacrificed	Comments noted – no change required	No
177	Paper questionnaire	Julia Stevens	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	Need proper bus service in Pillowell.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
179	Online questionnaire	Catherine Goodwin	Section 3 – Whitecroft	Some of ours on Charleswood Road, which is clearly included in our title deed, have been listed as public open spaces. This needs to be corrected. We didn't receive a flyer, so have only just found this out	The areas identified as 'other open space' on the maps within the Neighbourhood Plan are shown for contextual purposes only and have been produced from a layer provided by Ordnance Survey showing open green space. There is no reference to these spaces being publically accessible and they do not form part of the designations shown on the Neighbourhood Plan Policies Map. No change required.	No
180	Online questionnaire	Mr Barry Jessop	Section 3 – Whitecroft	Too many new build houses are being built. Proposed new site on Pin Factory, site believed to be contaminated. Never any bungalow's built so that people could maybe downsize. No shops in village, people have to use own transport for basic necessities, poor public transport links for doctors etc. Local schools and doctors surgeries already have long waiting lists, this will only increase with more residents B4234 road is dangerous now, with free roaming sheep constantly on road between Parkend to Lydney, also many deer and wild boar can be seen on this road. Proposed new build site at Pin Factory access is on a blind bend and brow of hill in opposite direction.(Bridge)	Comments noted – no change required	No
181	Online questionnaire	Mr Barry Jessop	Policy 3: Allotments and community gardens	Saunders Green Allotments, well supported	Comments noted – no change required	No
182	Online questionnaire	Mr Barry Jessop	Policy 8: Local green spaces	Whitecroft Recreation Ground Used primarily by local football club, who pay annual rent and maintain ground to a high standard so that it can be used by all villagers. Pedestrian access gate open at all times, vehicular access only on match days, also club bar open on match days and other events such as Whitecroft Wellie music festival Ground also used by Pillowell Primary School for Annual sports day and other events No Dog Walking allowed at any time	Supporting documents and policy wording have been reviewed and the text amended where necessary.	Yes
183	Online questionnaire	Mr Barry Jessop	Policy 12: Housing for older people	Not enough is done for the elderly. Why no bungalows ever built on new build sites to allow elderly to maybe downsize?	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
184	Online questionnaire	Mr Barry Jessop	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	Poor access for proposed new development on Pin Factory site Blind bend in one direction and vehicles travelling down over a bridge in opposite direction Poor public transport links Everyone relies on own transport for basic necessities	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
185	Online questionnaire	Mr Barry Jessop	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	Be a great acquisition when open B4234 from Whitecroft to Lydney far too dangerous for cyclists and pedestrians, no footpath on a very busy road, used by far too many speeding cars and heavy lorries	Comments noted – no change required	No
186	Online questionnaire	Mr Barry Jessop	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	Poor broadband reception in places	Comments noted – no change required	No
187	Online questionnaire	Mr Barry Jessop	Policy 20: Existing community facilities	The Miners Arms, Whitecroft Memorial Hall and Recreation Ground, also football club on match days	These sites are already identified as community facilities within the Neighbourhood Plan - no change required.	Yes
188	Online questionnaire	Mr Barry Jessop	Policy 22: Small-scale retail	No shops in village	Comments noted – no change required	No
189	Online questionnaire	Lindsay Wood	General comment	Thank you for consulting us. We have no comment to make on this Neighbourhood plan at this stage.	Comments noted – no change required	No
190	Online questionnaire	Karen Essex	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	Do not understand why the DFG is being promoted in its current format. Planners have ruled this illegal as it is against the National Policy Framework to use Ancient Woodland. WDPC have voted to find an amended route away from Ancient Woodland which covers the majority of the proposal and it appears discussions are on going as to where any future route could be. The consultation period for planning finished months ago	Policy 18 has been amended to remove reference to a specific route, but to retain general support for a multi-use track being developed subject to certain criteria being met.	Yes
191	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Freeman	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	We MUST find small plots of land, even if outside of settlement boundaries, as exceptional sites for affordable and social housing for local young people to be able to live here. All housing to buy or even rent coming on to the market in our villages are now beyond the reach of the younger generations.	Policy 11 provides support for 100% affordable housing schemes on rural exception sites outside settlement boundaries.	No
192	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Freeman	Policy 12: Housing for older people	We need more suitable housing for older people.	Comments noted – no change required	No
193	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Freeman	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	I have not commented on other sections because I have been involved with the preparation of FES NP over the last 5 years, but I wish to comment on the importance of the proposed Dean Forest Greenway (DFG) to the area and residents. The provision of a safe walking and cycling route from Parkend to Lydney is extremely important. The road from Parkend the Lydney is very dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists and consequently rarely used by them. With safety, active transport, mental well being, climate change etc being so high on everyone's agenda this project must be brought to fruition and I very much commend FSE NP for publicising and supporting it.	Comments noted – no change required	No
194	Online questionnaire	Mr Tony Highfield	Section 1 – Introduction	The neighbourhood plan has represented a huge amount of work by the steering group in consultation with the local communities.	Comments noted – no change required	No
195	Online questionnaire	Mr Tony Highfield	Section 2 – Forest Edge South in Context	It is good that the 6 villages are represented as one area, but also acknowledge their individuality.	Comments noted – no change required	No
196	Online questionnaire	Mr Tony Highfield	Section 7 – Parkend	Parkend is very unusual as it is surrounded by the forest. As a major tourist area in the Forest of Dean, it has to maintain a balance between community, infrastructure and housing and is still welcoming to visitors.	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
197	Online questionnaire	Mr Tony Highfield	Section 8 – Opportunities and Constraints	Poor transport links and no mobile signal hamper opportunities for greater independence.	This Plan recognises the importance of digital infrastructure - see Policy Theme 5.	No
198	Online questionnaire	Mr Tony Highfield	Section 9 – Vision and Objectives	Recognising the heritage of the area is important when planning sustainable growth.	This Plan seeks to conserve heritage assets.	No
199	Online questionnaire	Mr Tony Highfield	Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	Section F Respect and enhance existing natural corridors, natural areas must be enforced by planning officer when developers attempt to ignore planning permission conditions.	Comments noted – no change required	No
200	Online questionnaire	Mr Tony Highfield	Policy 13: First Homes	Low-income jobs in the Forest of Dean make housing ownership for local people in their own area unaffordable.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
201	Online questionnaire	Mr Tony Highfield	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	Mobile signal in the Forest of Dean area is patchy; in Parkend, any signal that may be available is only 2G, which is not acceptable to allow things like 2-step authentication required by banks, etc.	Comments noted – no change required	No
202	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Section 1 – Introduction	The Forest Edge South plan has been very important. Many people have worked really hard to achieve this, which will make a difference in what happens in the area.	Comments noted – no change required	No
203	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Section 5 – Yorkley	Enjoy living in a small community like Yorkley. Wouldn't like to see large building developments.	Comments noted – no change required	No
204	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Section 8 – Opportunities and Constraints	For people who can't drive transport is a problem.	Comments noted – no change required	No
205	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	Sustainable design/construction is important for any new developments if we are to move forward and become more energy efficient.	Comments noted – no change required	No
206	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	We live in a beautiful area and green spaces need to be maintained and we don't need large areas of new development	Comments noted – no change required	No
207	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 3: Allotments and community gardens	The community gardens are a great idea, but we need to encourage more people to take part to support them.	Comments noted – no change required	No
208	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	To enable progress to move forward we need to make sure developments are renewable and low carbon is achieved.	This Policy looks to support this.	No
209	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 5: Design in new developments	Energy-efficient and only small building g plots.	This Plan supports energy efficient methods and infill development subject to certain criteria being met.	No
210	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 7: Landscape character	Landscape is good and doesn't need to have lots of new buildings on it.	Comments noted – no change required	No
211	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 8: Local green spaces	Lots of good green spaces in the area. Need to be maintained.	Comments noted – no change required	No
212	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 9: Infill development	Small groups of houses only.	Comments noted – no change required	No
213	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 10: Live-work units and working from home	Since the pandemic working from home has become more popular.	Comments noted – no change required	No
214	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	More affordable housing needed	The Neighbourhood Plan seeks to support provision of affordable housing – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
215	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 12: Housing for older people	This is important too.	Comments noted – no change required	No
216	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 13: First Homes	Many more of these needed and need to be affordable	Comments noted – no change required	No
217	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 14: New employment floorspace	Around this area, if there are too many large commercial properties, the infrastructure of the small villages couldn't cope.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
218	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 15: Tourism related development	I think the forest is such a beautiful area that tourists enjoy visiting many 'green' areas. Don't think we need huge developments.	Comments noted – no change required	No
219	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	Parking is important to any new developments.	Comments noted – no change required	No
220	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	We really require more sustainable transport	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
221	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	This will open up a whole new activity and to enable people to get to many other areas safely. Also, it will provide a healthy environment for many.	Comments noted – no change required	No
222	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 20: Existing community facilities	In Yorklwy we have a lovely community hall which is used regularly by many different organisations.	Comments noted – no change required	No
223	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 21: New community facilities	Some villages could do with support to build new halls/facilities.	This plan supports new community services.	No
224	Online questionnaire	Pauline james	Policy 22: Small-scale retail	Small scale retail would be great for villages.	Comments noted – no change required	No
225	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Section 1 – Introduction	The plan, as laid out in the intro, sounds good, but not all government policies have been considered, and some policies quoted have changed since the survey was done. Too few paper copies of surveys/questionnaires were distributed, and even fewer were noted as returned, leading to a skewed/bias in the results. Every household should receive a copy of the survey as the plan affects every household in the area. Although a cohesive plan is good as a basis, each individual project should be considered for its own merits, not simply as part of a greater idea and due diligence adhered to.	The consultation was publicised widely, as set out in the accompanying Public Consultation Statement. Leaflets were distributed to households and businesses across the Neighbourhood Plan area.	No
226	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Section 2 – Forest Edge South in Context	Thought this was presented succinctly.	Comments noted – no change required	No
227	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Section 3 – Whitecroft	The pin factory site is an obvious site for residential and commercial, maybe a general store/farm shop, developed as a brownfield site. Road crossing point should be added in a safe place to link with the already in situ footpath to Parkend.	The Neighbourhood Plan is not looking to allocate any specific sites for development.	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
228	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Section 7 – Parkend	There is a need for more public transport links to Lydney, Cinderford, Coleford and even Monmouth. Parking at times can be an issue and this would definitely become a problem with an increase in tourism. There is already a high proportion of 'holiday let' properties, which, although of benefit to those renting such properties out, is detrimental to the year-round social structure of the village and limits the availability of property for locals to buy as permanent homes. The lack of mobile signal in the village needs to be addressed.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required. This Plan addresses issues regarding digital infrastructure and supports development of affordable housing in appropriate locations.	No
229	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Section 8 – Opportunities and Constraints	Definite need for investment in public transport [ideally electric buses], which would be available to all and be in line with government policy to cut reliance on cars. Investment is needed in dedicated school buses to take pupils safely to the local schools, this would cut car journeys and becomes an enjoyed social get together for the pupils. Despite the promotion of cycling, people would generally not cycle to go shopping [not practical] or for medical appointments and primary age children would not cycle to school alone, so improved public transport is essential. An increase in tourism will increase traffic and, consequently, pollution. There is already a rubbish problem along the sides of roads and cycle paths, but increased tourism will increase the unseen pollution of CO2 emissions from increased traffic.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
230	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Section 9 – Vision and Objectives	Property development- brownfield sites need to be identified and developed first before farm and forestry land. The local council could have a 'first option' on the purchase of suitable properties for first-time buyers, many of which at present are taken off or held off the market for holiday rentals. Transport- at present, most car journeys are made for reasons where cycling or walking are not an option due to distance, mobility, weather and other practical reasons. This is why public transport should be prioritised for investment before further expenditure on new cycle routes. For leisure there are already plenty of cycle and walking routes available for all abilities. Education- access to education facilities should be provided by a designated school bus network	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
231	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	Agree	Comments noted – no change required	No
232	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Policy 3: Allotments and community gardens	Green spaces have an intrinsic environmental value and this should be a given in development plans. Should be no 'except' where the development of forest wasteland.	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
233	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Allotments should be retained and increased, but community gardens are unnecessary in our environment. Over time, community gardens can become overgrown, uncared-for areas as reliant on volunteers, not the specific personal responsibility of one person. The forest is a unique environment that we are fortunate to live in. Some national policies [e.g. community gardens etc.] can be irrelevant as worded to reflect an urbanised environments	This Plan under Policy 3, looks to support community gardens and allotments.	No
234	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Policy 8: Local green spaces	Parkend - the large triangle of land adjacent to the cricket ground on the site of the marsh sidings should be a recognised protected green space. This is an area of special interest to bird watchers and as part of the local heritage.	This site is designated as Forest Waste and is within the control of Forestry England. No change required.	No
235	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Policy 9: Infill development	Infill development is preferable to encroaching on land beyond present village-built boundaries.	Comments noted – no change required	No
236	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Smaller affordable 'first time' houses should be included in development plans but building of flats are not in keeping with the rural environment and feel of the villages.	Policy 11 supports a housing mix as evidenced by the Local Housing Needs Assessment. No change required. The design of new development will also be assessed under the criteria in Policy 5. No change required.	No
237	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Policy 15: Tourism related development	13.28 states that the neighbourhood plan will support purpose-built visitor accommodation which contradicts concerns stated in section 9 regarding too many holiday let properties. There is already an abundance of cycleways. There is no need to invest well over a £1 million (as per cycleways costing of construction) in another one.	One of the issues with holiday let properties is their impact on availability and affordability of housing for local people. Sustainable purpose built visitor accommodation would be supported subject to compliance with relevant policies.	No
238	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	This states that new development should link in with the Lydney-Parkend multi-use track [a cycleway, in fact], but the planning permission for this track has not been approved due to its effect on the environment, destruction of natural habitats, felling of ancient trees and increasing risk of flooding. Although championed for over 10 years by certain members of and consultees to the local parish councils it is opposed by many in the local community, environmental groups, conservationists as well as residents whose properties will be adversely affected by its construction.	Following the outcomes of earlier rounds of public consultation, Policy 18 of this plan proposes to support this multi track development subject to certain criteria being met.	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
239	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	Although 18b states evidence supports that no ancient or veteran trees will be harmed, a simple onsite visit would show that this statement is incorrect. It is obvious that the planners quoted have not viewed the site of the route themselves by the inaccuracy of statements contained in the plans. The construction of the route would be in direct contradiction of government guidelines set out by the department for the environment, food and rural affairs in the 'keepers of time, ancient and native woodland and trees policy in England'. With concerns for future flooding due to climate change to build a route that would intrinsically increase flood risk to homes and roads is ludicrous. In cutting down mature trees and covering a swathe of forest floor with a manmade surface over 3m wide, a significant reduction in potential CO2 capture would result, therefore, in an increase of pollution in a natural delicate environment.	Policy 18 has been amended to remove reference to a specific route, but to retain general support for a multi-use track being developed subject to certain criteria being met.	Yes
240	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Policy 21: New community facilities	Parkend- the open area opposite Parkend school would be ideal to develop as a play and picnic area. Mothers and preschool-age children could socialise while waiting for their children to come out of school, and children could play together before heading home. In rural areas where families can sometimes live further apart than in towns, often coming from different villages, this opportunity to meet up can be crucial for the mothers and children who may not normally have the opportunity.	Comments noted – no change required	No
241	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Policy 22: Small-scale retail	A cooperative farm shop within the area of villages would enhance community and be an excellent venue for small local producers to sell produce. This would negate the need for residents to drive further afield.	Comments noted – no change required	No
242	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Section 16 – Implementation	The neighbourhood plan should not be used to facilitate the 'forcing through' of pet projects of council members and their close friends. The propensity for this is a known risk of such projects. It is highlighted in this plan by the inclusion of the Lydney to Parkend track which, has failed to gain approval despite numerous planning applications over a more than 10 year period and at a considerable cost of public funds [i.e. 2019-2021 approximately a £30,000] paid to consultants. The refusals have been due to environmental and safety issues, among others, many of which still remain the same and have now and even greater significance than 10 years ago.	Comments noted – no change required	No
243	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	Section 17 – Monitoring and Review	Again, the Lydney-Parkend track is included even though not approved and is considered (at a construction cost in excess of £1 million) not a good use of public funds.	This Plan supports development of a multi track subject to certain criteria being met.	No
244	Online questionnaire	MRS ROSAMUND VAUGHAN	General comment	The Forest of Dean is a unique environment that needs our protection as custodians of nature for future generations. The UK is one of the most nature-depleted countries, which makes our forest even more important. It should not be used as a giant park for entertainment but as a place to appreciate, respect and nurture nature and its diversity.	Comments noted – no change required	No
245	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Section 7 – Parkend	This is an exceptional village and should remain so. Do not allow any extra building other than where you have allowed previously and any new development should look like the old traditional properties.	The Neighbourhood Plan policies seek to ensure appropriate development is delivered in sustainable locations subject to certain criteria being met - no change needed.	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
246	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	We should keep our beautiful green space for us and the local wildlife and not let it be taken over by buildings and developers who are only out to make a quick buck. Planning should be adhered to and not have developers trying to find ways around the regulations.	Comments noted – no change required	No
247	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Policy 3: Allotments and community gardens	The idea of allotments is such a good idea, especially with the economy and housing having such small gardens however they do need to be close to those who intend to use them and also be safe from animals	Comments noted – no change required	No
248	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	It would be good if new housing were to have solar panels and charging for electric vehicles	This Policy will support development with electric vehicle charging points and low carbon energy strategies.	No
249	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Policy 5: Design in new developments	This should be kept in keeping with the look of the village, not try and make it modern. Remember, people come to the forest to see how it has been, not making it look like any other place. Keep it unique.	This policy supports design that is in keeping with local character.	No
250	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Policy 6: Historic environment	Buildings should be kept out of areas of historic areas. Building should be restricted to extensions only and not new builds pushing in where there is a small space.	This Policy supports the conservation of heritage assets.	No
251	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Policy 7: Landscape character	Keep the character of the village	Comments noted – no change required	No
252	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Policy 8: Local green spaces	Keep all spaces where they are. Do not expand the allocation plan at the expense of the locals and wildlife.	Comments noted – no change required	No
253	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Policy 9: Infill development	Infilling is not what locals want.	This Plan supports infill development on brownfield land, in accordance with National Policy.	No
254	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Policy 10: Live-work units and working from home	With the lack of public transport, this may be the way to go if you have space. This keeps the roads quieter for those who have no choice but to travel	Comments noted – no change required	No
255	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	People I know living on new estates do not like the fact they live next door to people who haven't purchased their properties. I think they should go back to having areas such as the old idea of council houses	The provision of mixed communities is encouraged. This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
256	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Policy 14: New employment floorspace	Areas for business are quickly being taken up with housing. The people who move into those houses, where are they working? The forest will have no local jobs available, which will result in more travel.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
257	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Policy 15: Tourism related development	We need to be careful that there are housing for locals and not all taken up as Airbnb otherwise the forest will be full of visitors and lose the community	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
258	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	Any new property should have at least 2 spaces each and extra areas for visitors	This policy looks to support development that supports adequate visitor car parking - no change required.	No
259	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Janes	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	There is a total lack of internet service in Parkend, it is laughable. You can be on a lighthouse in Wales and have full service.	Comments noted – no change required	No
260	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Croome	Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	More control and more checks during development must be undertaken and, at the slightest whim of conditions not being met, then development must be halted. There is little control undertaken during the building phases and local developers seem to get away with all sorts of things.	The issue of enforcement is carried out by the Local Planning Authority (Forest of Dean District Council) and cannot be directly addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
261	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Croome	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	More control and more checks during development must be undertaken and, at the slightest whim of conditions not being met, then development must be halted. There is little control undertaken during the building phases and local developers seem to get away with all sorts of things.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
262	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Croome	Policy 5: Design in new developments	More control and more checks during development must be undertaken and, at the slightest whim of conditions not being met, then development must be halted. There is little control undertaken during the building phases and local developers seem to get away with all sorts of things.	Comments noted – no change required	No
263	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Croome	Policy 6: Historic environment	The forest is special and it must remain as such. We have a good tourism market now and we can make it better. We must make absolutely sure that any development is akin to the area, fits in architecturally and sympathetically with the built environment, maintaining the historical elements of the Forest's special past.	See Policy 5 re local character design.	No
264	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Croome	Policy 7: Landscape character	The forest is special and it must remain as such. We have a good tourism market now, and we can make it better. We must make absolutely sure that any development is akin to the area and fits in architecturally and sympathetically with the built environment.	See Policy 5 regarding design.	No
265	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Croome	Policy 9: Infill development	Whilst I support infill development more than I do, having vast swathes of land covered in concrete, there are still issues with these. Unscrupulous developers will make all the promises they need to, to get developments approved and then, when it's all built, will not plant the trees they were supposed to, in some instances, will take more of the trees down, than they should have done. More control, more checks during development must be undertaken and, at the slightest whim of conditions not being met, then development must be halted. There is little control, and especially when certain families are involved.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
266	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Croome	Policy 10: Live-work units and working from home	We work from home and have done so since 2015, with about 50% of our time spent on client sites. Since the pandemic, this has increased to around 90% working from home, and we rely heavily on technology to be able to do so, including whole days spent delivering online professional training and qualifications training. Having environmentally friendly housing with space either in the house, or room for garden offices, is a good idea, as mooted in the plan. But at what cost? The houses just built at Lion Row in Parkend met that criteria, but were way over the price limit for any locals to be able to afford. This area needs serious consideration to get it right.	The issue of property prices cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
267	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Croome	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Development is incorrectly focused on 'old style' town centre planning (the old zoning regime). Any town or city that needs to be vibrant must have living, shopping, entertainment and green space in its centre, to be able to survive. Sticking with the old ways isn't doing this area any good - look at how Gloucester Docks has changed, simply because of what they have there.	Comments noted – no change required	No
268	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Croome	Policy 12: Housing for older people	Development is incorrectly focused on 'old style' town centre planning (the old zoning regime). Any town or city that needs to be vibrant must have living, shopping, entertainment and green space in its centre, to be able to survive. Sticking with the old ways isn't doing this area any good - look at how Gloucester Docks has changed, simply because of what they have there.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
269	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Croome	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	Where I live in Parkend there is hardly any parking for the residents. Not least because the council gave planning permission for a care home in a small residential cul-de-sac; the refuse lorry has a problem every week trying to reverse to the end of the cul-de-sac because of all the cars parked in the road (both staff and residents have a lack of space). EVERY new house must have room for at least two cars if it is a two bed house, four cars if it's a 4 bed house - why? Well a family of four will, by the time the kids grow up, need space for a car each. After all, the report states that the car use on their FOrest is way above the national average because we have little public transport.	This policy looks to support development that supports adequate visitor car parking - no change required.	No
270	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Croome	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	We seem to be in a situation where, even if we allow buildings of small developments (in fill as you call it), we are not increasing the infrastructure of the area. No extra schools, no shops, no public transport. All aspects must be included in consultation and if some areas are not met then the development must not be approved.	Comments noted – no change required	No
271	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Croome	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	We work from home and have done so since 2015, with about 50% of our time spent on client sites. Since the pandemic, this has increased to around 90% working from home, and we rely heavily on technology to be able to do so, including whole days spent delivering online professional training and qualifications training. I have no mobile phone signal where I live in Parkend. I cannot get a signal with O2 or EE and can just about get 1 or 2 bars with Vodafone. However, I cannot rely on that signal to make a phone call, let alone an emergency phone call when BT force us all onto VoiP! Fibre and mobile phone signal here in Parkend is a vital necessity, not a luxury. Why not put a mast up in the village that is designed like a tree? You can get them you know! The landowner will also get paid by the phone company for rental of the land.	Comments noted – no change required	No
272	Online questionnaire	Mrs Sharon Croome	Policy 22: Small-scale retail	Our towns are a sad state of affairs. High car parking charges have killed the town centres, the life has gone, and development is incorrectly focused on 'old style' town centre planning (the old zoning regime). Any town or city that needs to be vibrant must have living, shopping, entertainment and green space in it's centre, to be able to survive. Sticking with the old ways isn't doing this area any good - look at how Gloucester Docks has changed, simply because of what they have there.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
273	Online questionnaire	Mrs Jean Norton and Mr William Norton	Section 4 – Pillowell	<p>We have noticed an inaccuracy in the Character Assessment - Pillowell, Page 30 currently states: 4.14 Pillowell's Upper Road marks the limits of the statutory Forest of Dean and the St Briavels Hundred. The first record of a settlement known as Pellowe Well dates to 1669. There are acknowledgements of encroachments on Crown Land in the 18th century.</p> <p>The statutory Forest of Dean boundary actually runs SW along Blimeshire after the junction by the Methodist Chapel - not Upper Road. The Hundred of St Briavels boundary is much further out and does not run along Upper Road at any point.</p> <p>The photograph of Pillowell Recreation Ground (War Memorial) on page 33 is out of date and misleading, it portrays the presence of goalposts as a community asset and poorly maintained ground. The Charity - The Recreation Ground (War Memorial) at Pillowell would be very happy to supply a more appropriate photo (contact pillowellrec.secretary@btinternet.com or 01594 564328)</p> <p>Very confusingly - the Pillowell Open Spaces and Community Assets map (page 33) shows parts of Yorkley which duplicates the Yorkley map (page 40). However the Pillowell map misses off the Pillowell Recreation Ground (War Memorial) which is referred to in the Pillowell text and is located in Pillowell. Please could the Pillowell map be re-centred to give a more accurate picture.</p>	<p>The forest boundaries indicated on the map are defined by OS/within Local Plan and cannot be amended. These are illustrated here for contextual purposes only. The photograph of the Recreation Ground has been updated. The open space and community assets map has been re-aligned.</p>	Yes
274	Online questionnaire	Mrs Jean Norton and Mr William Norton	Section 9 – Vision and Objectives	Looks good, reads well and seems to capture the spirit with fair balance.	Comments noted – no change required	No
275	Online questionnaire	Mrs Jean Norton and Mr William Norton	Policy 6: Historic environment	<p>The following should really be added: Yorkley War Memorial Pillowell Recreation Ground (War Memorial) - registration number WMO/277623</p>	Following further assessment, the suggested sites have been added as proposed non-designated heritage assets. The supporting evidence, policy wording, policy map have been amended to reflect this.	Yes
276	Online questionnaire	Mrs Jean Norton and Mr William Norton	Policy 9: Infill development	<p>Infill development for new residential purposes is not currently permitted in the Pillowell Conservation Area. It would be good to uphold this condition in the criteria. The Policy 9: Infill Development box on page 86 could include a bullet saying 'If outside the Pillowell Conservation Area' and point 13.5 could be more specific to the Pillowell Conservation Area, or perhaps this point could have its own bullet.</p>	An additional criteria has been added reflecting Conservation Area restrictions on infill development.	Yes
277	Online questionnaire	Mrs Jean Norton and Mr William Norton	Policy 10: Live-work units and working from home	Policy should encourage and planning look favourably on the conversion of existing outbuildings or part of a residential dwelling for the purpose of undertaking, producing, displaying and selling by businesses that support heritage crafts, skills, local produce, artisan products etc. This would be with the aim of attracting meaningful employment, tourism and sustaining rural crafts or enterprise. The whole area of Forest South could become a specialised hub if it develops a high enough density of this type of enterprise.	Support for new employment development is provided under Policy 14 - no change required.	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
278	Online questionnaire	Mrs Jean Norton and Mr William Norton	Policy 14: New employment floorspace	Policy should encourage and plan to look favourably on the conversion of existing outbuildings or part of a residential dwelling for the purpose of undertaking, producing, displaying and selling by businesses that support heritage crafts, skills, local produce, artisan products, etc. This would be with the aim of attracting meaningful employment, tourism and sustaining rural crafts or enterprise. The whole area of Forest South could become a specialised hub if it develops a high enough density of this type of enterprise.	New employment development such as these would already be supported under this policy, subject to certain criteria being met. No change required.	No
279	Online questionnaire	Mrs Jean Norton and Mr William Norton	General comment	Overall - it is obvious that a huge amount of work has gone into this and we commend the writing team on their presentation of a clear and concise plan. It is well laid out and easy to read although trying to cross-reference some of the policy statements and supporting documents is awkward. It is easy to overlook the background and national policy - and finding the explanation of NPPF was a challenge! We're not sure even now that we fully understand the dividing line between what is already in place (not up for negotiation or consultation) and the aspects that are yet to be agreed and can be influenced by the community consultation. Well done to all and thank you for your efforts.	We have considered how these aspects of the plan are presented and made these references clearer in the submission version where possible.	Yes
280	Online questionnaire	John Kendrick	Section 7 – Parkend	The attached site edged red should be included within the settlement boundary for Parkend as it forms an integral part of the existing built residential environment. It should not be sterilised arbitrarily from development; it is in a sustainable location and would add to the economic and social structure of the area by providing a range and choice of new dwellings. It should be considered on the basis it is a Brown Field site which should be developed in preference to Green Field sites and it represents a rounding off of this part of Parkend from a residential development point of view. in spatial terms. There are no severe constraints with regard to its development and no requirement for uneconomic infrastructure or other services. It should be included within the Plan document and the emerging N.D.P.	The Neighbourhood Plan is not proposing to allocate any sites for development. Changes to the defined settlement boundary would need to be implemented through the Forest of Dean Local Plan.	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
281	Online questionnaire	Mr William Henry Norton	Section 4 – Pillowell	<p>Given that Whitecroft war memorial sites are mentioned, it might be appropriate to give recognition to the Pillowell Recreation Ground (War Memorial) as such.</p> <p>Page 33 (plan) and page 7 (character assessment) - The photograph of Pillowell Recreation Ground is very old. It incorrectly depicts the state of the ground and presence of football posts, this is misleading. We can provide a more appropriate photograph and ask that the one being used in the Plan and Character Assessment be discarded please.</p> <p>Page 32 - The Miners did not level the Rec during the Miners' Strike. They probably did not even work on it at that time, the historic photograph taken at the embryonic Pillowell Rec in May 1921 (only two months into the strike) was not a celebration of the creation of Pillowell Rec - it was a show of solidarity for the Miners following a government conference in London on the Friday. The newspaper held aloft is a copy of The Herald with front page news of the event.</p> <p>In the early 1920s Lord Bledisloe donated a parcel of land as a recreational space for the benefit of the inhabitants of the Village of Pillowell and the neighbourhood and to create a war memorial to commemorate the sons of Pillowell who fell in the Great War 1914-1918. Work to clear mining waste and spoil from the site was initially undertaken by the villagers until the formation of the Charity The Recreation Ground (War Memorial) at Pillowell (270857) in September 1923. At this point substantial grants were secured to pay for the Rec to be levelled/seeded and for pavilion, tennis courts and playground to be built. Pillowell Recreation Ground was formally opened on 6th September 1930.</p> <p>Forest Edge South Neighbourhood Plan Consultation Draft 4.24, Page 32 currently states that: The recreation field was donated to the people of Pillowell by Lord Bledisloe and levelled by miners during the 1921 miner's strike. (This is not true and the Trustees would like this</p>	Following further analysis, Pillowell War Memorial has been included as non-designated heritage asset, and the supporting evidence, policy wording and policy map updated as necessary. Other wording within the Plan has also been reviewed to take account of these comments, where necessary.	Yes
282	Online questionnaire	Mr William Henry Norton	Section 5 – Yorkley	<p>Given that Whitecroft war memorial sites are mentioned it might be appropriate to give recognition to the Yorkley War Memorial?</p>	Reference to the War Memorial has been added.	Yes
283	Online questionnaire	Mr William Henry Norton	Section 9 – Vision and Objectives	<p>Currently, there is very limited community support for maintaining, reviving or developing the Pillowell Recreation Ground (War Memorial). This and the shortage of people willing to act as Charity Trustees is likely to be a significant constraint for the sustainability of this, and other, Community Assets throughout the Forest Edge South area.</p> <p>8.13 Forestry managed land is only 'open access' for pedestrians. Access for cycles and horses is restricted to designated routes. Poorly managed routes and unauthorised trails are a threat to wildlife, walkers and fences in general and specifically to Pillowell Recreation Ground which is surrounded by Forestry land and official/unofficial tracks and trails.</p>	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
284	Online questionnaire	Mr William Henry Norton	Policy 8: Local green spaces	<p>On behalf of the Trustees of The Recreation Ground (War Memorial), I confirm that we definitely do not want Pillowell Recreation Ground to be designated as a Local Green Space.</p> <p>This would introduce unnecessary restriction on land which is already well protected by the terms of the Charity's Governing Document, the endowment and the Rec's status as a registered war memorial (WMO/277623).</p>	The Recreation Ground was considered for potential allocation as a Local Green Space but Trustees requested this was not included following consultation with them. No change needed.	No
285	Online questionnaire	Mr William Henry Norton	Policy 20: Existing community facilities	<p>It appears that Pillowell Recreation Ground has already been listed as a Community Asset in a previous plan. Is this the case?</p> <p>In terms of community use Pillowell Recreation Ground is limited by its location as it is surrounded by Forestry managed land with access over an unmade forest track. This means it has poor accessibility (especially for those with reduced mobility), lack of services (no electricity, water or drainage) and no nearby parking.</p>	The Recreation Ground was considered for potential allocation as a Local Green Space but Trustees requested this was not included following consultation with them. It is however identified as a community facility in Policy 20. No change needed.	No
286	Online questionnaire	Ms Kim Carpenter	Section 1 – Introduction	Need to ensure the enhancement, protection and sustainability of our villages and local areas through development that takes into consideration conservation, environment, heritage and a sense of place whilst creating strong resilient and safe communities that allow people to live and work.	Comments noted – no change required	No
287	Online questionnaire	Ms Kim Carpenter	Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	Need to ensure that the character and density of housing developments are in keeping with the location and that heritage assets are protected.	This addressed in Policy 6 and the Design Guide.	No
288	Online questionnaire	Ms Kim Carpenter	Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	all new developments should be designed to allow for wildlife and provide refuge for species to thrive whilst also providing space for play and keeping the open feeling of the area.	This plan supports biodiversity enhancement via this policy. This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
289	Online questionnaire	Ms Kim Carpenter	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	these are vital elements to any future developments and should be a core requirement of the planning system for all properties and buildings.	Comments noted – no change required	No
290	Online questionnaire	Ms Kim Carpenter	Forest Edge South Design Guidance (AECOM, 2022)	a vital guide to ensure developments are in keeping with the local character and nature of the area	Comments noted – no change required	No
291	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Section 1 – Introduction	<p>1.6 / 1.18 Representatives from the six villages have been involved as part of the steering group and, through local consultation, have sought views from residents on how they want their villages to be protected and developed whilst protecting the heritage of this forest location.</p> <p>1.9 It is very important that the Neighbourhood Plan will be considered by FODDC Planning when determining planning applications.</p>	Comments noted – no change required. This Plan seeks to conserve the heritage assets and biodiversity of the area.	No
292	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Section 2 – Forest Edge South in Context	<p>2.1 / 2.4 This is a very special area within the Forest of Dean and even though the name changed with boundary changes, the six villages remained together to form the Forest Edge South NP.</p> <p>2.2 Forming an area between the Rivers Wye and Severn, this historical area boasts a wealth of natural resources which encourage tourism and therefore financial benefit to the local economy.</p>	Comments noted - no change required.	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
293	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Section 3 – Whitecroft	3.10 Whitecroft has the only large site for development within FESNP, i.e. the former pin factory, which currently has a planning application under consideration with FODDC. With no shop, post office or school, it is important that retail infrastructure and affordable housing is included in this development.	Comments noted – no change required	No
294	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Section 4 – Pillowell	4.1 / 4.4 Pillowell is a designated conservation area and this affects any development in most of the village. It is difficult to know where the border with Whitecroft and Yorkley is as there is very little signage.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
295	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Section 5 – Yorkley	5.9 There is very little public transport covering the village and this reduces independence for those who don't drive. There is an excellent modern community centre and well-respected health centre which serves the local villages, but again most people need to drive there. 5.40 The Dean Forest Greenway is considered to be essential, in the Climate Emergency declared by both West Dean PC and FODDC, to provide a safe link between Parkend and Lydney .	Comments noted – no change required	No
296	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Section 6 – Oldcroft and Viney Hill	No signage to identify boundaries.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
297	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Section 7 – Parkend	7.1 Parkend is the only village in the Forest of Dean surrounded by forest. It is, therefore, separate from the other 5 villages, but they all share the same issues. 7.2 It is the main tourist destination within FODDC and a major source of employment albeit at low rates. This is particularly important for young people and students looking to gain some sense of financial independence. However, they are hampered by poor public transport and often rely on relatives for lifts to these jobs until they are able to drive themselves. 7.12 Many houses , often suitable for first time buyers , are being bought and converted into holiday lets. This has an impact on the loss of homes for locals, with prices rising out of the reach of young families and the reduction of local people being part of that community. New developments are being allowed by FODDC that do not conform to the Local Plan with developers ignoring the need for Biodiversity mitigation and using urban solutions which are out of character in this rural location.	Comments noted - no change required.	No
298	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Section 8 – Opportunities and Constraints	8.9. Poor transport links affect so many areas, including employment, health and wellbeing, etc 8.10 Recent bus changes had stopped people getting to jobs and therefore they have lost their employment. 8.20 Mobile signal is very patchy with Parkend getting an unreliable 2G coverage	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required. This Plan recognises the importance of digital infrastructure - see Policy Theme 5.	No
299	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Section 9 – Vision and Objectives	9.5a) it is important that the community values are protected in future developments. 9.5 b) these objectives are clear and appropriate.	Comments noted – no change required	No
300	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	With the Climate Emergency, it is essential that the points in Policy 1 are adhered to with any planning applications.	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
301	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	11.11 Biodiversity mitigation is really important and must be upheld by FODDC planning. There is no point in developers getting planning permission based on a mitigation plan but then totally ignoring it when it is constructed.	Comments noted – no change required	No
302	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 3: Allotments and community gardens	Community gardens and orchards are now being developed with the support of Forestry England and West Dean PC. These provide an important community resource where volunteers socialise, learn new skills and develop valuable community assets.	Comments noted – no change required	No
303	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	All new homes should have solar panels and electric car charging pints as standard.	This Policy looks to support development which accords with the Design Guide on these points.	No
304	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 5: Design in new developments	12.10 C and E New developments should fit into the local environment in style, materials and layout. This is a rural area and should not have urban solutions.	This Policy encourages in keeping design with the local character.	No
305	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 6: Historic environment	12.11 This is an area steeped in industrial heritage and should not be harmed by future developments.	Comments noted – no change required	No
306	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 7: Landscape character	The character assessments give a clear definition of the local landscape characteristics.	This Plan will provide a definition of local landscape characteristics.	No
307	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 8: Local green spaces	Designated local green spaces provide much needed community resources.	Comments noted – no change required	No
308	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 9: Infill development	13.5 Infill must not destroy the open rural nature of the area and can only be acceptable if it is within the settlement boundary. If suitable rural exception sites are found for affordable housing then these may be considered.	Policy 9 specifies that infill development must be within settlement boundary in order to be supported under this policy. No change required.	No
309	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 10: Live-work units and working from home	More people are working from home now or are single-person businesses needing space to operate from home. New developments should take this into consideration.	Policy 10 seeks to support working from home in new developments. No change required.	No
310	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Local wages are not high enough for home ownership, and therefore, a mix of social rent and affordable rents should be included in new developments.	Policy 11 supports a mix of affordable housing types as evidenced by the Local Housing Needs Assessment. No change required.	No
311	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 12: Housing for older people	Many older people are living in homes that are too big for them. If smaller units could be provided for them to downsize then it would free up larger homes for families	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
312	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 13: First Homes	First homes for local people to stay in their family locations should be included in new developments according to the National Planning Policy and FODDC Local Plan.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
313	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 14: New employment floorspace	The impact on neighbouring properties and the local area must be considered when planning applications are submitted.	This is addressed in Policy 14.	No
314	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 15: Tourism related development	Tourism is very important to the economy of the Forest of Dean. Many attractions and accommodation providers serve this increasing number of visitors coming to the area for many different activities. However, the need to keep local homes for local families, protection of the environment with increased mountain biking through the forest destroying many track used by walkers and the increased problem of parking lead to frustration in local communities.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
315	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	Off-road parking for residents and visitors must be included with all new developments.	This policy looks to support development that supports adequate visitor car parking - no change required.	No
316	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	New developments must have adequate access to sustainable active travel and public transport. New public electric car charging points are needed for the local area.	Policy 17 is seeking to address this issue. EV charging points are referenced in Policy 4.	No
317	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	This project is essential to provide a safe link for walkers, cyclists, etc, trying to get from Lydney to Parkend. The main Forest Road has no footpaths between Whitecroft and Lydney, numerous dangerous bends, sheep, boar and deer that regularly cross it. It is also the missing link between the only national railway station (Lydney) and the Forest of Dean.	Comments noted – no change required	No
318	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	Mobile signal is non-existent in Parkend and patchy around the forest generally. This seriously impacts residents who need two-step authentication for banking, medical appointments, etc.	Comments noted – no change required	No
319	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 20: Existing community facilities	There is a good range of community facilities in the area but a number are in poor condition and need upgrading. Funding for these is becoming more difficult.	Comments noted – no change required	No
320	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 21: New community facilities	New community facilities would be welcomed in the right places and where the need is identified.	Comments noted – no change required	No
321	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Policy 22: Small-scale retail	Whitecroft needs a general retail shop, especially with the development of the Pin Factory goes ahead.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
322	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Section 16 – Implementation	16.3 FODCC should promote sustainable outcomes and be proactive in making this happen.	The policies within the Neighbourhood Plan seek to support sustainable development across the plan area.	No
323	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Section 17 – Monitoring and Review	The NP targets will demonstrate positive outcomes.	Comments noted – no change required	No
324	Online questionnaire	Elaine Highfield	Forest Edge South Design Guidance (AECOM, 2022)	An excellent document with clear understanding of the area and design implications	Comments noted – no change required	No
325	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Section 1 – Introduction	Well written	Comments noted – no change required	No
326	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Section 5 – Yorkley	All information very clear	Comments noted – no change required	No
327	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Section 9 – Vision and Objectives	I agree with the suggestions	Comments noted – no change required	No
328	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	I agree	Comments noted – no change required	No
329	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	Very important	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
330	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 3: Allotments and community gardens	I agree. Residents should be given every opportunity to enjoy the fresh air, get some exercise and have company if they don't have a garden themselves.	Comments noted – no change required	No
331	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Important where possible.	Comments noted – no change required	No
332	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 5: Design in new developments	Very important developers don't crowd too many houses onto new sites.	This Policy outlines ideal density requirements.	No
333	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 6: Historic environment	Very important to save historic sites	Comments noted – no change required	No
334	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 7: Landscape character	I agree	Comments noted – no change required	No
335	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 8: Local green spaces	Most important so residents can enjoy open spaces. Essential for everyone's health and mental well-being.	Comments noted – no change required	No
336	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 9: Infill development	Should be given planning permission whenever possible if they are within the planning boundaries.	Policy 9 specifies that infill development must be within settlement boundary in order to be supported under this policy. No change required.	No
337	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 10: Live-work units and working from home	Should be given permission whenever possible and encouraged to save on road journeys to a workplace.	Policy 10 seeks to support live-work units and working from home subject to certain criteria being met. No change required.	No
338	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Very important . More affordable housing is needed.	Comments noted – no change required	No
339	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 12: Housing for older people	As the population ages this will be more important than ever.	Comments noted – no change required	No
340	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 13: First Homes	Very important. I agree	Comments noted – no change required	No
341	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 14: New employment floorspace	I agree	Comments noted – no change required	No
342	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 15: Tourism related development	Everything possible should be encouraged to expand the tourism sector. We need different types of tourist accommodation to suit all budgets like camping and glamping sites .	Comments noted – no change required	No
343	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	It is an absolute necessity that developers are made to provide enough parking for residents and their visitors alike.	This policy looks to support development that supports adequate visitor car parking.	No
344	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	I agree	Comments noted – no change required	No
345	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	This is essential to develop a safe track to link Lydney with the main Family cycle trail in Parkend. It is a much needed link and everything possible should be done to make it happen.	Comments noted – no change required	No
346	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	Agree. A fast internet connection is essential.	Comments noted – no change required	No
347	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 20: Existing community facilities	Must be supported	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
348	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 21: New community facilities	If new facilities are needed and it is proved it's sustainable and has plenty of support	Comments noted – no change required	No
349	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Policy 22: Small-scale retail	Where it can be supported	Comments noted – no change required	No
350	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Section 16 – Implementation	It is most important that this is implemented	Comments noted – no change required	No
351	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	Section 17 – Monitoring and Review	Should be done on a regular basis	Comments noted – no change required	No
352	Online questionnaire	Mrs Beverley Worgan	General comment	The team that has put this together need to be congratulated for the time and effort that has obviously been put into it.	Comments noted – no change required	No
353	Online questionnaire	Ann Linden	Section 7 – Parkend	Active transport: this applies to most of the report. If active transport is leisure or dog walking, the area is very well supplied. However, if it means getting to a destination by foot or bike, there is very little provision where the pavements run out. For example, if I want to get to my surgery without driving I either take my life in my hands on a narrow, fast road or walk a long way around on forest footpaths. Even in the villages there are often no pavements, eg from Parkend village to Whitemead.	The Neighbourhood Plan supports developments which promote active forms of travel, including cycling and walking.	No
354	Online questionnaire	Ann Linden	Section 8 – Opportunities and Constraints	We have gotten used to not having a mobile signal, but it would be great to have it given the increasing need to input codes sent by text, e.g. banking. This can be a very real problem and the organisations using text codes are not helpful. We had to change bank because of it. other hilly rural areas seem to manage to get signal. our broadband is good.	This Plan recognises the importance of digital infrastructure - see Policy Theme 5.	No
355	Online questionnaire	Ann Linden	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Great aspiration; I hope it's not beaten down by planners and developers. Can the Forest become a leading light in sustainable homes?	Comments noted – no change required	No
356	Online questionnaire	Ann Linden	Policy 15: Tourism related development	the plan has recognised that balance is essential and the problem of holiday homes is recognised. if we want to benefit from visitors, we have to accept the increased traffic, parking, loss of family homes etc.	This plan only supports sustainable tourism-related development.	No
357	Online questionnaire	Ann Linden	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	14.5- it would be great if this was possible but given the objections to the greenway and lack of walking/cycling infrastructure it can only be an aspiration	Comments noted – no change required	No
358	Online questionnaire	Ann Linden	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	There is a desperate need for this track and a huge amount of local support. It ticks all the boxes for sustainable, active travel. It would help, a little perhaps, to reduce the number of car journeys on our too-busy roads. It seems to have been dropped because one or two people object to it, and have used the 'letter of the law' technique to stop it. Nobody wants to damage ancient woodland or wildlife, but increasing use of cars, climate change etc are a far greater risk than a surfaced track winding through the woods.	Comments noted – no change required	No
359	Online questionnaire	Ann Linden	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	good to see that this is being addressed- though not phone signal	Comments noted – no change required	No
360	Online questionnaire	Ann Linden	General comment	I found the numbering of the policies and the sections on the form very confusing- sections, policies, eg. Policy 4, Section 12, Theme 2! I think the document reflects my views on the whole, but realise that it is aspirational rather than likely to be implemented as it is.	Following review, it is considered that the references and numbering provided in the Plan are sufficiently clear - no change required.	No
361	Online questionnaire	Mrs Nicolette Rudge	Section 7 – Parkend	A concise and impressive piece of work, thanks to all those who gave their time to create this.	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
362	Online questionnaire	Mrs Nicolette Rudge	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	There does not appear to be sufficient emphasis on the creation of more affordable housing for the young people of the area and most new development seems to consist of yet more executive homes. The high cost of these homes mean that that they are often occupied by couples with older children, or those whose children have left home - not good for the viability of the village primary schools.	Policy 11 supports a mix of affordable housing types as evidenced by the Local Housing Needs Assessment. No change required.	No
363	Online questionnaire	Mrs Nicolette Rudge	Policy 13: First Homes	First homes are sadly unaffordable for many young people who have grown up in this area. Affordable first homes do not appear to be prioritised in local housing developments.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
364	Online questionnaire	Mrs Nicolette Rudge	Policy 15: Tourism related development	Parkend was already a tourist destination and accustomed to a large number of tourist vehicles throughout the year. However, it is now suffering very severely as a consequence of the charges for parking at Cannop and Beechenhurst. In high Summer and on fine weekends it is simply swamped by cars and vans, when cyclists in particular, use it for free parking and for easy access to the Cannop cycle track. In relation to the number of houses within the village it has a relatively high proportion of holiday homes and rentals. Whilst tourism is of course important for the local economy and jobs, this number needs to be managed extremely carefully to avoid a situation such as Cornwall, where local people are unable to access affordable rented accommodation in their area and communities become deserted in the Winter. Perhaps a policy of only 10% of housing in any village to be permitted for holiday rentals, could prevent The Forest of Dean suffering the same fate ?	Holiday lets cannot currently be managed through the planning system as they fall within the same use class as residential.	No
365	Online questionnaire		Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	"The Robin" service is very convenient and affordable and a huge asset to the rural communities of The Forest of Dean. However, many people still seem to be unaware of it, so perhaps posters in every village that it serves with information on fares and how to book could be considered?	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
366	Online questionnaire	Mrs Nicolette Rudge	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	This will be a great asset	Comments noted – no change required	No
367	Online questionnaire	Mrs Nicolette Rudge	Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	Parkend remains a mobile phone signal blackspot where some residents are unable to access any signal in their homes	Comments noted – no change required	No
368	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Section 1 – Introduction	It's comprehensive	Comments noted – no change required	No
369	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Section 8 – Opportunities and Constraints	I agree that the changing climate will pose challenges but fail to see how the transition from fossil fuels to clean energy can be achieved before 2030. I welcome the work that the pro-active councillors on WDPC have highlighted the many concerns about climate change. Public transport is so under funded and what little there is does not enable residents to get to and from work either in local towns or further afield. The Robin bus is a big help for some. Although tourism is welcomed, there is an increasing danger that too many houses in FES are being turned over to holiday letting which is reducing the availability of homes to buy or rent.	The Plan seeks to support low carbon and renewable energy developments, and sustainable methods of construction. The issues of public transport provision and holiday lets cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
370	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Section 9 – Vision and Objectives	I agree with the Vision and Objectives	Comments noted – no change required	No
371	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	I agree with this	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
372	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	Gardening is good for us all. Community gardens are a great idea, especially for those who need company and feel isolated, especially after Covid.	Comments noted – no change required	No
373	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 3: Allotments and community gardens	Some good work done here on this difficult subject. Well done.	Comments noted – no change required	No
374	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	All new housing should have some good amenity space. We need more social and affordable housing in the 6 villages.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
375	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 5: Design in new developments	Good work	Comments noted – no change required	No
376	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 6: Historic environment	The landscape character MUST be protected.	Comments noted – no change required	No
377	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 7: Landscape character	Answer as above	Comments noted – no change required	No
378	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 8: Local green spaces	Must not be cramped so good work done in the Design Code	Comments noted – no change required	No
379	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 9: Infill development	It is very important that this policy stays as more and more people are working from home and some businesses will require a workshop or studio.	Comment noted - no change required.	No
380	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 10: Live-work units and working from home	We need more 'modest' housing here. The three enormous, out-of-character houses that have been built in Parkend recently are an abomination, complete with plastic grass. This should not have been allowed so in future and with the implementation of FES NP will housing be more in character and affordable?	The Neighbourhood Plan seeks to support provision of affordable housing, including First Homes. No change required.	No
381	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Definitely need small bungalows for older people	Policy 11 supports a mix of affordable housing types as evidenced by the Local Housing Needs Assessment. No change required.	No
382	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 12: Housing for older people	This policy is needed so that any housing built for local people who cannot afford the current prices of houses for sale will be for local residents or those with close family connections	Comments noted – no change required	No
383	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 13: First Homes	I agree	Comments noted – no change required	No
384	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 14: New employment floorspace	We have enough accommodation for tourists in FES	Comments noted – no change required	No
385	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 15: Tourism related development	Important, as are charging points	Comments noted – no change required. See Policy 1.	No
386	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	Sustainable transport is vital	Comments noted – no change required	No
387	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	This must happen and be a priority of local, district and County councillors	Comments noted – no change required	No
388	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 20: Existing community facilities	We have good community facilities	Comments noted – no change required	No
389	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Policy 22: Small-scale retail	Whitcroft needs a shop so hopefully there will be one included in the redevelopment of the Pin factory	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
390	Online questionnaire	Mr Alan Freeman	Section 16 – Implementation	This NP must be implemented and taken seriously by the local planning authority	Comments noted – no change required	No
391	Email / letter	Coal Authority	General comment	Our records indicate that within the identified Neighbourhood Plan area, there are recorded coal mining features present at surface and shallow depth, including mine entries, coal workings and reported surface hazards. These features may pose a potential risk to surface stability and public safety. The Coal Authority's records also indicate that surface coal resource is present in the area, although this should not be taken to imply that mineral extraction would be economically viable, technically feasible or environmentally acceptable. As you will be aware those authorities with responsibility for minerals planning and safeguarding will have identified where they consider minerals of national importance are present in your area and related policy considerations. As part of the planning process consideration should be given to such advice in respect of the indicated surface coal resource. It is noted however that the Neighbourhood Plan does not appear to allocate any new sites for future development. On this basis the Planning team at the Coal Authority does not have any specific comments to make on the proposed plan.	Comments noted – no change required	No
392	Email / letter	Environmental Agency	General comment	In the absence of specific sites allocated within areas of fluvial flooding, we would not offer bespoke comments at this time.	Comments noted – no change required	No
393	Email / letter	FoD Council	Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	As you will already be aware, we do have a few concerns about the wording and purpose of Policy 18 with regard to the multi-purpose track and the potential impact on the Ancient Woodland (as raised through the currently pending planning application for the track). This is something which we should find some clarification on during the consultation of the Statutory Consultees.	Policy 18 has been amended to remove reference to a specific route, but to retain general support for a multi-use track being developed subject to certain criteria being met.	Yes
394	Email / letter	FoD Council	Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Based on the Housing Needs Assessment (HNA) (AECOM, 2022), Policy 11 seeks that 40 % of affordable housing should be delivered on qualifying sites in the Neighbourhood Plan area and such provision. 35% affordable home ownership (including 25% First Homes, 5% shared ownership and 5% rent-to-buy) and 65% affordable housing for rent. Having considered the (HNA) (AECOM, 2022) there are some of areas within the HNA which are unclear. The HNA identifies that the greatest need is for rented affordable housing compared to affordable home ownership. The HNA recognises that those households needing rented affordable housing will have most acute needs and no alternative options; whilst those households calculated as needing affordable homeownership is potential demand from a group who are generally adequately housed in rented accommodation. The effects of providing first homes should also be considered. Government requires 25% of affordable homes to be provided as First homes and which is only available to First time Buyers. Consideration needs to be given that a number of the future household formations will occur from older person households who will not be eligible to access First Homes provision. As it is unlikely that the overall housing need requirement will be met then strategic housing would urge that the proposed 65/35 tenure split in favour of affordable for rent is amended to 70/30 in line with Council policy to help those in the greatest need and help a wider range of potential housing need.	Affordable housing mix amended to reflect 70:30 split in line with FoDDC recommendations.	Yes

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
395	Email / letter	FoD Council	Policy 13: First Homes	The policy proposes that any First Homes that are delivered in the Neighbourhood Plan area must be discounted by a minimum of 50% against the market value. Whilst the concept of providing a greater discount to allow more households to be able to access the First Homes is welcomed, the National Planning Policy guidance requires that the introduction of a greater discount should only be introduced where it evidenced that the introduction of a greater discount does not affect the viability of the development and has been considered alongside other planning requirements. The HNA does not consider the viability of introducing a greater discount and no other evidence has been produced to support the introduction of a greater first homes whilst demonstrating that it will not affect overall development viability. The Forest of Dean District Council Local Plan Economic Viability Assessment (including CIL) – October 2021 considered that the consequence of seeking First Homes to be sold at a greater discount than 30% on development viability is significant and not viable to allow the quantum of 40% affordable housing to be provided.	Following further correspondence with officers at FoDDC it was agreed that the addition of a viability clause to the policy wording would ensure that the policy is sound. Policy text amended accordingly.	Yes
396	Email / letter	Minerals and Waste Planning Policy	General comment	No comments	Comments noted – no change required	No
397	Email / letter	Gloucestershire Wildlife Trust	Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	We were pleased to see the acknowledgement of the climate and ecological emergency and the subsequent Policy 1 does cover a great amount of detail regarding sustainable development in response to the declared emergencies. This policy is perhaps an ideal opportunity to mention the Building with Nature (BwN) standards as BwN encompasses many of the points mentioned within this policy. BwN was developed in partnership with the Gloucestershire Local Nature Partnership and Local Authorities, with funding from Innovate UK and The Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Governments. It is designed to help create better places for people and wildlife. Having developments follow the BwN framework (or other similar standards) would help to ensure that new development within the area is as sustainable as possible	Policy 2 wording has been amended to include reference that Building with Nature design principles are supported by the Plan.	Yes
398	Email / letter	Gloucestershire Wildlife Trust	Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	We were encouraged to see that Policy 2 aims to deliver the highest Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) possible, inline with national and local policy. However, there is an ideal opportunity to include a separate clause requesting a minimum of 10% BNG and to really spell out the importance of biodiversity in all development. It could also be of benefit to include some suggestions for biodiversity improvement within smaller scale development such as domestic gardens and boundary treatments.	A reference to minimum 10% biodiversity net gain requirement has been added to the policy and supporting text (including monitoring criteria on p.108/109) updated accordingly.	Yes
399	Email / letter	Gloucestershire Wildlife Trust	General comment	The FESNP could lead the way for NDPs by providing some context on what species and habitats are characteristic to a particular local area. A positive wildlife-based policy that potentially reference BwN or other similar standards could help to focus designing for the promotion and improvement of wildlife. We did note that the document lacked information on how the FESNP would tackle loss of nature connectivity across the area. The Gloucestershire Local Nature Partnership's Nature Recovery Network (NRN [https://naturalcapital.gcerdata.com/]) should be considered. The area covered by the FESNP has multiple large areas of core and high priority habitat. The NRN could be included within the policies to signpost the key areas that should be preserved and those which offer opportunities for enhanced connectivity for nature.	Policy 2 has been amended to include reference to Building with Nature principles being supported. Reference to Nature Recovery Network added to policy supporting text.	Yes
400	Email / letter	Natural England	General comment	No specific comments	Comments noted – no change required	No
401	Email / letter	Severn Trent	General comment	Severn Trent's response identifies additional policies which they suggested are added to the Neighbourhood Plan, as drafted in their response letter.	Additional policy criteria added where appropriate (see below)	Yes

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
402	Email / letter	Severn Trent	Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	Severn Trent is supportive of this policy, particularly points c) regarding water efficiency and d) regarding SuDS. We are supportive of the use of water efficient design of new developments fittings and appliances and encourage the optional higher water efficiency target of 110 litres per person per day within part G of building regulations. Delivering against the optional higher target or better provides wider benefits to the water cycle and environment as a whole. This approach is not only the most sustainable but the most appropriate direction to deliver water efficiency. Suggest amendments - see letter	Policy has been revised in accordance with Severn Trent recommendations related to water efficiency and drainage hierarchy.	Yes
403	Email / letter	Severn Trent	Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	Severn Trent is supportive of this policy. We understand the need for protecting Green Spaces. However, open spaces can provide suitable locations for schemes such as flood alleviation schemes to be delivered without adversely impacting the primary function of the open space. If the correct scheme is chosen, the flood alleviation schemes can result in additional benefits to the local green space through biodiversity and amenity benefits. Suggest amendments - see letter	Policy wording amended in line with suggestion to state that development of flood resilience schemes within green spaces will be supported provided the schemes do not adversely impact the primary function of the green space.	Yes
404	Email / letter	Severn Trent	Policy 16: Parking for new developments	In alignment with your previous policy recommending the inclusion of SuDS on new developments, we recommend that on this specific policy, you include the requirement to consider permeable paving when meeting car parking requirements.	Policy wording amended to include clearer reference to Design Guide which includes support for permeable paving for parking.	Yes
405	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	Where are the proposed sites for each village?	No specific allocation sites are identified in the NP.	No
406	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	The school in Pillowell needs investment to expand to support a growing community	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
407	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	Policy should stipulate good insulation on all new development (ideally to Passive House standards) as well as rainwater catchment and solar gain.	The NP approach to sustainable design is documented in Theme 1 (para 11.10) and the Design Guidance section 09 -16 and Policy 1 and Policy 5	No
408	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	The Design Guidance says contemporary building design is OK but also that new houses should use Penalt sandstone and Welsh slate!	Design Guidance reflects the local building styles and materials and recommends their use to maintain this harmony. Use of salvaged materials and other alternatives are also listed.	No
409	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	The policy should use the terms "must" and not "should", and the caveat "where feasible" should be removed; otherwise just no strength to the wording.	The Plan has to remain pragmatic to prevent stifling development and to encourage developers to accord to Policy 1 as much as possible.	No
410	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	Agree "should" to "must"	The Plan has to remain pragmatic to prevent stifling development and to encourage developers to accord to Policy 1 as much as possible.	No
411	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 1: Sustainable design and construction in new developments	All new developments should have shop, pub, surgery, school and bus route.	The provision of such facilities within new developments are supported by Policy 3, 21 and 22	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
412	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	it should be mandatory for every new build to have at least one "swift brick" (nesting brick) high up in the property.	Reference to swift boxes is already provided in Design Guide (section 16c) - no change required.	No
413	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	Wild boar are causing damage to our green areas in the village and it looks as if a bomb has hit it. Our village has never looked so awful.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
414	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	There should be plans to monitor/manage biodiversity in the long term.	This is best served by such organisations as RSPB, Gloucestershire Wildlife etc.	No
415	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 2: Green spaces and biodiversity in new developments	Some sort of District control must be levied on the Forestry England, which seems to be turning it into a barren desert.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
416	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	all new properties to have solar and water harvesting	See Policy 1c and the Design Guidance sections 09 -16	No
417	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Need to find as many ways to move away from oil including supporting (existing) properties to move away from oil	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
418	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	All new builds to have solar panels.	See Policy 1c and the Design Guidance sections 09 -16	No
419	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Air or Ground source heat pumps on every new build.	See Policy 1c and the Design Guidance sections 09 -16	No
420	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Support for local wind and solar projects should be included especially if community owned.	Noted	No
421	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	All new housing must be future proofed for sustainability	See Design Guidance section 9 -16	No
422	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Not all older properties are suitable for heat pumps. Are there alternatives?	Retrofitting of existing properties cannot be controlled by the Neighbourhood Plan unless the works require planning permission. No change required.	No
423	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	No new housing unless they have air source heat pumps and positive insulation standards.	This Policy supports passive solar gain and low carbon energy developments.	No
424	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	How does this apply for extensions to existing properties ? Via planning permission?	Retrofitting of existing properties cannot be controlled by the Neighbourhood Plan unless the works require planning permission. No change required.	No
425	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Air source heat pumps are noisy. Could we consider noise pollution?	Criteria added requiring developments to demonstrate they would have no noise impacts, or where there are impacts, that these can be appropriately mitigated.	Yes

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
426	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Would like to see electric charging points in villages perhaps in community centre car park in Yorkley.	Glouc CC has just launched a scheme for such chargers.	No
427	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Relax planning in the conservations areas to enable installation of double glazing and to support energy saving engineering solutions.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
428	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Are there plans for charging points for electric cars? If so, where?	Policy 4 and Design Guidance section 13	No
429	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Could it be possible to have one electric charging point for cars where they are parked (Forest waste) on Upper Rd/New Road?	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
430	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 4: Renewable and low carbon energy developments	Happy to have more wind power generated in Yorkley. We get plenty of it up the top!	Policy 4 provides support for renewable energy generation schemes.	No
431	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 5: Design in new developments	Whereabouts (in Parkend)?	No specific allocation sites are identified in the NP.	No
432	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 5: Design in new developments	Does Planning Committee trump the NP or visa versa?	They work in tandem	No
433	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 6: Historic environment	The Well in Pillowell needs a sine(sic) (Child's comment)	Comments noted – no change required	No
434	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 6: Historic environment	This building belongs to Bristol Uni, not the Forest (<i>Assume this refers to the Study Centre in Parkend</i>)	Comment noted - no change required.	No
435	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 7: Landscape character	The forest is being overrun with bracken - a known carcinogen. What is to be done? (there was less before Foot and Mouth in 2001)	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
436	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 7: Landscape character	Need to have re-assurance from Forestry England that footpaths used "custom and practise" but re-designated as a Public Right of Way remain accessible and are considered part of the open access designation	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
437	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 7: Landscape character	Forest England to be controlled in what they rip down. The Forest is publically owned. It is not theirs!	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
438	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 8: Local green spaces	A great deal of work has been done to make Pillowell Rec available to the community. Why was it not included? (3 other notes to same effect)	The Recreation Ground was considered for potential allocation as a Local Green Space but Trustees requested this was not included following consultation with them. It is however identified as a community facility in Policy 20. No change needed.	No
439	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	3 comments complaining about behaviour of visitors and locals regarding litter and its collection	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
440	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 9: Infill development	Too many new build houses are being built in a small village with no shops	Comment noted - no change required.	No
441	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 10: Live-work units and working from home	Yorkley does not need live work units	The demand for and development of live-work units will be driven by the market – no change required	No
442	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 10: Live-work units and working from home	Policy 10 c : Alternative (to BT and Gigaclear) is Starlink. Can be installed without digging up the roads)	Comments noted – no change required	No
443	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Where would we find the allocated areas? i.e.Yorkley.	The Neighbourhood Plan does not seek to allocate specific sites for housing.	No
444	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	What is "affordable" level of cost?	Affordability is based on a ratio of house prices to median workplace-based earnings. See para 13.12	No
445	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Does the Council own any new housing?	This question would need to be answered by Forest of Dean District Council.	No
446	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Make sure there is enough social housing to replace what was lost in the 1970's	The Neighbourhood Plan supports the delivery of affordable housing, including affordable social rented accommodation. Comments noted – no change required	No
447	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	need 2/3 bed houses to buy for LOCAL families and young people. NOT huge 5 beds at £0.75m.	Policy 11 supports a mix of housing types as evidenced by the Local Housing Needs Assessment. No change required.	No
448	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Priority for locals who can't yet afford a home of their own-financial "penalty"/surcharge for homes bought as a 2nd dwelling and or/holiday lets.	First Homes (see Policy 13) must be occupied by first time buyers who meet certain eligibility criteria, including local connections. The Government is currently consulting on proposed changes to the Land Use Classes Order which would enable Local Planning Authorities to restrict changes of use from residential to holiday lets.	No
449	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	states Pillowell 2 storey houses. Usually, they have one side (2?) and then 3 on the other due to the slope of the valley.	Comments noted - no change required.	No
450	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 11: Housing mix and affordable housing	Yorkley does not need development	A need for housing (particularly affordable housing) is evidenced in the supporting Local Housing Needs Assessment and is supported by the Neighbourhood Plan subject to certain criteria being met and complying with other development plan policies.	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
451	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 12: Housing for older people	A very good idea!	Comments noted – no change required	No
452	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 13: First Homes	Need more affordable housing	See Policy 11.	No
453	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 13: First Homes	Ban 2nd homes, buying housing to rent at extortionate rates OR at least limit.	There is a Gov't consultation open on this at the moment to close on June 7th	No
454	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 13: First Homes	Ban 2nd homes like Cornwall has. Forest youth deserve to be able to live where they are from.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
455	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 13: First Homes	Agree	Comments noted – no change required	No
456	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 13: First Homes	Off road parking essential (2 comments on this)	See Policy 16	No
457	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 14: New employment floorspace	Comments best sent to Local or other Authority	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
458	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 14: New employment floorspace	We need better transport to support employment and allow businesses to get staff in who may not drive. The Forest villages have been impacted significantly by bus route cuts.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
459	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 15: Tourism related development	Parkend to Lydney Cycleway. Still happening? Could do with it ASAP, please.	With Planning	No
460	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 15: Tourism related development	The new cycle track from Parkend is going to be a great improvement.	Agreed.	No
461	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	1) Off-track cycling through the Forest is already a major problem 2) There needs to be careful monitoring of cycling off-road. Too many cyclists are destroying areas of woodland impacting on wildlife, nature and are a danger to public walking. 3) Cyclists should only be allowed on specified routes and not spoiling the woods by making jumps etc.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
462	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	The main visitors are mountain bikers who jam every layby. A license is needed for them to pay to wreck our footpaths.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
463	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Mountain bikers to pay an annual license fee to go solely to footpath upkeep. They ruin many.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
464	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Tourism will not be attracted with the boar's destruction of green spaces and bluebell areas.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
465	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 16: Parking for new developments	Off-road parking essential (2 comments on this)	This policy looks to support development that supports adequate visitor car parking - no change required.	No
466	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	Cycleways for commuters (ie getting from one place to another) rather than recreational use.	Active travel is encouraged in Policy 17 - no change required.	No
467	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	More Cycle Routes	Comments noted – no change required	No
468	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	More cycles routes and safe footpaths for disabled, pushchairs. Wheelchairs etc	Comments noted – no change required	No
469	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	Walk to School should be encouraged wherever possible.	This is a school and parent matter. But if large developments are planned then this is a criteria to be met. See Policy 17c.	No
470	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	Bus routes to all new housing developments. Need cycle paths too.	Comments noted – no change required	No
471	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	Provision for electric scooters and 'Boris Bikes'	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
472	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 17: Access for new developments and sustainable transport	New Train Station at Newnham.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
473	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	Parkend to Lydney Cycleway. Still happening? Could do with it ASAP, please	Comments noted – no change required	No
474	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	The new cycle tack from Parkend is going to be a great improvement.	Comments noted – no change required	No
475	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	What happened to the proposed cycle/walking route from Whitecroft to Lydney? (parallel to the most dangerous road in the Forest)	Comments noted – no change required	No
476	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	We need to be encouraging people and the next generation to travel by bike / foot SAFELY. This cycle path is crucial to providing a safe route.	Comments noted – no change required	No
477	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	An essential development for the Forest. This plan must be given the go ahead!	Comments noted – no change required	No
478	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	The road from Lydney to Whitecroft - 27 bends- is very dangerous for cyclists and pedestrians, no pavements	Comments noted – no change required	No
479	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	This track must be completed ASAP	Comments noted – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
480	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	Please, please, please. We need this cycle route	Comments noted – no change required	No
481	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	Actually, 2/3rds of the road has pavement	Comments noted – no change required	No
482	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	The cycle route will cost over £1million. Money better spent on improving bus routes to schools and medical facilities for all.	Comments noted – no change required	No
483	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	Ancient woodland, habitats and protected areas will be destroyed	The policy seeks to ensure that any application is supported by appropriate evidence to demonstrate that designated areas of Ancient Woodland are conserved and that no ancient or veteran trees will be harmed through its development and subsequent use. No change required.	No
484	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 18: Lydney-Parkend multi-use track (Dean Forest Greenway)	There are already existing routes available especially between Whitecroft and Parkend	Comments noted – no change required	No
485	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	1) No buses through Pillowell to anywhere! Whitecroft hill is not possible for many 2) we need more public transport through Pillowell	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
486	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Bus routes need improving or investing in. The loss of the 24, as well as the Willets 27, has impacted many and left some people unable to commute to work. The Robin does not cover the loss.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
487	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Dial-a-Ride does not fulfill the needs of the local community (4 comments)	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
488	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Circular Bus routes to maximise convenience. Cheap, regular, dependable. Meet all trains at Lydney (3 comments)	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
489	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	1) We need proper buses. Robin does not fill the huge gap in public transport 2) Robin Bus is very good however it gets booked up quickly	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
490	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	1) Consider the needs of elderly who do not/can't drive and also consider those without cars. 2) Buses needed for older folk who don't drive	The need for new developments to be accessible for all is addressed in Policies 5, 12 and 17. The issue related to bus service provision cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
491	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	More transport required on a regular basis	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
492	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	regular bus service throughout the day with connections to other buses at the bus station(s)	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
493	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Stagecoach 23 route - why can't it come up through Parkend, then up to Bream via Bowson Rd?	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
494	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Development of bus routes to be prioritised as key for village life	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
495	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	1) Pillowell has been ignored for at least 35 years. Pot holes have only been partially filled only to re-emerge a few months later. All roads need complete re-surfacing 2) New Road and Upper Road issue and on road Lydney-Whitcroft	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
496	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Speed Aware signs are needed on approach roads into Yorkley	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
497	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Pillowell School traffic control needs vast improvement e.g. 20 is plenty signs.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
498	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Find ways to slow the traffic down travelling through Parkend at dangerous speeds.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
499	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	20mph speed limit through the villages (5 comments)	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
500	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	The roads through Yorkley <u>need</u> speed restrictions enforced	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
501	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	40mph speed limit in all Forest	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
502	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	40mph speed limit in all Forest roads is a good idea. Maybe even 30? (Other Forests in the UK have low speed limits)	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
503	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Lydney Rd (Yorkley) is a rat run. It needs speed bumps	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
504	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Transport links are poor but critical. The £20m from the Levelling up Fund should be used to repair roads. The Council, otherwise may spend it all in vehicle damage claims.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
505	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Yorkley needs a speed camera on the Parkend Road	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
506	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Parking /cars at Pillowell School needs to be addressed. Too many near misses recently and parents parking on yellow zigzags where stopping is illegal	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
507	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Key issue- Parking - in access points to forest footpaths needs patrolling and managed	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
508	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	With the amount of traffic through the area thought must be given to the "Forest Sheep"	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
509	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	More robust walk to school policy is needed	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
510	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Some serious review of parking arrangements in and around Yorkley School. Double Yellow in the vicinity of the school and a more "walk to School" policy. Need to address it as it moves the problem elsewhere. In the last 12 months has become a problem on Stag Hill.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
511	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Car parking needed at Yorkley School	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
512	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	The old footpath from Whitecroft to Lydney has not not been maintained and is no longer usable	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
513	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Disgust over fouling by free roaming sheep (<i>the comment left here went over 3 post-its and is too long to record,)</i>	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
514	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Footpath cleaning is NEVER done by Council.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
515	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Boar and sheep encroachment in the village needs to be managed. Fouling of footpaths is not acceptable in this day and age.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
516	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Cull more boar - they are a nuisance	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
517	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Forest residents should be given free parking in Forestry car parks	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
518	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Are being decimated and not re-instated by Forestry England's chain saw gangs	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
519	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	Can we encourage Forestry England to repair water courses by digging dirches regularly	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
520	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 19: Digital infrastructure	Improvement in the mobile signal coverage needed. It is impossible to use mobile in emergencies in large areas of the Forest.	Comments noted – no change required	No
521	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 20: Existing community facilities	Why is Pillowell School not in othe list? (3 comments)	Pillowell School has been added to the existing community facilities list.	Yes

Ref.	Source	Name / Organisation	Relevant Section / Policy	Comment Summary	Steering Group Response	Change Required?
522	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 20: Existing community facilities	Pillowell School need better signage	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
523	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 20: Existing community facilities	Yorkley Primary School is a massive asset to the village	Comments noted – no change required	No
524	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 21: New community facilities	We shall need more doctors, carers, podiatrists, and district nurses	If major development occurs we agree. The need for primary healthcare provision would be considered by the local planning authority and may be secured by S106 agreement.	No
525	Consultation event Post-it note		Policy 21: New community facilities	Pillowell Village pump is in a state of disrepair. What is to be done to improve it. <i>Reply also posted - thought the original well is in the school's outdoor playground.</i>	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
526	Consultation event Post-it note		General comment	3 COMMENTS asking about application and availability of the Solar Farm Community Grant Scheme.	This issue cannot be addressed through the Neighbourhood Plan – no change required	No
527	Email / letter	Canal and River Trust	General comment	Do not own waterways in the area	Comments noted – no change required	No