

From: Planning Comments (FODDC) <Planning.Comments@fdean.gov.uk>

Sent: 02 Jun 2026 09:04:14

To: FODDCPlanningAdmin.DMS@220ICT.NET

Cc:

Subject: FW: P0672/25/OUT - Land north Carisbrook Road, Mitcheldean - clarification on a couple of points

Attachments:

From: COLEMAN, Neil (Highways) <Neil.Coleman2@gloucestershire.gov.uk>

Sent: 01 June 2026 13:52

To: Emma Hughes <Emma.Hughes@fdean.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: P0672/25/OUT - Land north Carisbrook Road, Mitcheldean - clarification on a couple of points

Emma,

Apologies for only getting back to you now. I've included answers below in red, and I think the only thing to include is the travel plan deposit contribution (see below). Sorry again, I know the committee is shortly.

Neil

From: Emma Hughes

Sent: 12 May 2026 10:07

To: COLEMAN, Neil (Highways) <neil.coleman2@gloucestershire.gov.uk>

Subject: P0672/25/OUT - Land north Carisbrook Road, Mitcheldean - clarification on a couple of points

Hi Neil, thank you for the consultation response in relation to the above application. I've reviewed the response and would be grateful for clarification on a few points:

Conditions

1. Residential travel plan

How the suggested condition is worded refers to the implementation of the travel plan. There is an interim travel plan which has been submitted received 3 June 2025. I'd appreciate your advice as to whether it is acceptable at this stage, or whether you require an alternative submission.. As noted at para 8.4.1 of the interim travel plan, it is indicative as the housebuilder is likely to offer their own action plan once the interim plan is developed into a full one.

Yes the submitted Travel Plan is acceptable. The condition just states that if (following monitoring) the travel targets are not being met, then a revised plan should be submitted (with better measures to try and achieve targets) shall be submitted. So, the initial travel plan is accepted.

Obligations

1. I note that there is reference to the public transport contribution being discussed with the Integrated Transport Unit; this was the position at the previous consultation stage in December 2025. I do have to push for a response to this, and I will need confirmation of the final requested amount by close of business on **Tuesday 19 May**. Otherwise I will be unable to include it. **I chased this but didn't get anything back so we can leave this out.**
2. Home to school transport. There is a parallel discussion ongoing with the GCC Community Infrastructure team about the amount; I have requested their response by close of business on Wednesday 13 May. **Noted**
3. Travel plan – In relation to option 1, the response refers to a non-refundable monitoring fee and a bond/deposit. I can see from advice sheet 7, that I think the monitoring fee would be £5000. However there is no separate column

referring to a bond/deposit. Please can you advise what this would be if option 1 is chosen. It is important that the figures are listed in the committee report so that the councillors are clear as to precisely what is being considered and also so the applicant has clarity.

Apologies, I'm not sure why this wasn't included. For 180 dwellings, the monitoring fee would be £5,000 and the travel plan deposit would be £42,100

Many thanks in advance for your help, and I would be grateful for a final response to the points raised by close of business on **Tuesday 19 May**. I'm happy to have a chat on the phone or via teams if that would help. When you reply, please respond to me and the planning inbox. Tony Pope retired in November last year; he is no longer the case officer, and hence why I have been following up regarding this application.

Regards

Emma

The content of this email and any related emails do not constitute a legally binding agreement and we do not accept service of court proceedings or any other formal notices by email unless specifically agreed by us in writing.

Recipients should be aware that all e-mails and attachments sent and received by Cotswold District Council, Forest of Dean District Council, West Oxfordshire District Council and Publica (Support) Ltd may be accessible to others in the Council for business or litigation purposes, and/or disclosed to a third party under the Freedom of Information or Data Protection Legislation.